# Morphometric Studies of Tobocco Caterpillar, Spodopteralitura(Fabricius) on Different host plants

Comment [DB1]: Tobacco

Comment [DB2]: Host Plants

**Comment [DB3]:** Follow the writing instructions for the entire paper

#### Abstract

Tobacco caterpillar, Spodopteralitura (Fabricius) is an economically important polyphagous pestinflicting significant economic damage to numerous field and horticultural crops. Research was conducted at the Department of Entomology, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, in laboratory settings to validate the presence of this pest. The investigation involved detailed measurements of the various larval stages, pupa, adult male, and female, tobaccocaterpillar including the wingspan. The first to sixth instars of S. litura exhibited significantly greater length and width as well as width of head capsule, when reared on the tomato as a host. The pupae of S. liturareached at their maximum length and width when raised on marigold (16.75 mm and 4.36 mm), while the minimum pupal measurements (14.10 mm and 4.42 mm was observed in case of maize). In regards to the adult moths, both male and female specimens displayed the longest length and wing span when associated with tomato (16.71 mm and 18.22 mm for length, 38.47 mm and 38.73 mm for wingspan, respectively), whereas the smallest measurements were recorded for those associated with maize (14.35 mm and 16.95 mm for length, 35.10 mm and 35.12 mm wingspan respectively). The present study confirmed the presence of S. litura which require immense attention to prepare the management strategy against it.

**Comment [DB4]:** Please, rephrase. All are stages; larva present instars

Comment [DB5]: of

Comment [DB6]: , (comma)

Comment [DB7]: use italics

Comment [DB8]: idem

Comment [DB9]: )

Comment [DB10]: delete

Comment [DB11]: space

**Comment [DB12]:** choose the common or scientific name, not both

Comment [DB13]: developmental stages

**Comment [DB14]:** you can mention host plant as keywords

Keywords: Tobacco caterpillar, larval instars, morphometry, Spodopteralitura,

#### INTRODUCTION

*Spodopteralitura* (Fabricius) is a highly polyphagous insect that significant threat to agriculture and many other countries (Shivayogesaghwara et al., 1991). This insect has a wide range of host plants and has been reported to damage over 112 plant species from 44 different

families. In India alone, it has been observed affecting about 40 plant species(Chari and Patel, 1983). Various crops are known to serve as host plants for *Spodopteralitura*, and researchers(Basu(1944), Thobbi (1961), and Patel et al. (1987) have documented its presence and damage in several agricultural crops. Someof the crops known to be affected by *Spodopteralitura* viz., maize, castor, cauliflower, tobacco and several grasses etc. *Spodopteralitura*'sability to infest such a wide range of crops and plant species makes it an important agricultural pest that requires efficient management strategies to mitigate its impact on crop yields. Other hosts include ornamental plants, native vegetation, unwanted plants, and shade trees, such as *Leucaena leucocephala*, which serves as a shade tree in Indonesian cocoa plantations (CABI, 2019).

Comment [DB15]: many researchers

**Comment [DB16]:** move the name of authors to the end of paragraph

**Comment [DB17]:** More information are required about this pest for Introduction.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Mass rearing of Tobacco Caterpillar was initiated under laboratory conditions. Early instars were collected from the field and brought to the laboratory and maintained in plastic container having holes in the lids for their proper growth and development and larvae were fed with fresh chopped cabbage. The paired adult was allowed to mate in separate mating cages. After eggs laying, these were separated and kept under BOD incubator in Petri plates for further development, and to maintain larval population of *Spodopteralitura*.

To initiate the morphometrics study of *S. litura* under laboratory condition, the samples of each instar as well as other stages were preserved in 70 % alcohol. The length and width of larvae, pupae, adults (5 of each stage) and width of head capsule were measured by using stereo zoom binocular microscope having magvision image analysis software and reference scale. Moulting was confirmed by presence of exuviae.

Data on morphometrics of different stages viz. larva, pupa and adult of S. lituraon different hosts were measured by using stereo zoom binocular microscope having magvision image analysis software and reference scale. To record the length and width, head capsule of larvae, 5 samples (larvae) from each host (maize, marigold, pigeon pea and tomato) were killed and preserved in 70 % alcohol. For further observation, the specimens were transferred to

**Comment [DB18]:** Rephrase: Early instars collected from the field were brought to the laboratory and maintained in plastic container...

Comment [DB19]: delete

Comment [DB20]: were brought

Comment [DB21]: . (point)

Comment [DB22]: delete

**Comment [DB23]:** another sentence. The larvae were.....

**Comment [DB24]:** a larger number of specimens is usually required for such studies

**Comment [DB25]:** The pictures in the work do not show this. A usual scale is observed

Comment [DB26]: italics

blotting paper for removing moisture then mount on glass slide to study under on stereo zoom binocular and reference scale. Several characters were linearly measured using stereo zoom binocular and on reference scale viz. Head capsule, larval body length, pupal length and width, wing span as well as body length of male and female adults were measured.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### First instar larvae:

The data in Table 2 and 3 indicates that the maximum length and width of first instar larvae and usual width of head capsule were observed in tomato, measuring 2.74 mm and 0.45 mm, 0.34 mm respectively. In contrast, when considering maize and marigold, their respective values for first instar larval length were 1.58 mm and 1.38 mm, while their widths were 0.34 mm and 0.26 mm, and their size of head capsule 0.32 mm and 0.31 mm respectively. However, the minimum length and width were recorded in pigeon pea, measuring 1.15 mm in length and 0.30 mm in width along with their head capsule width 0.27 mm

#### Second instar larvae:


Table 2 and 3 clearly demonstrates that the second instar larva exhibited its maximum length and width as well as head capsule measuring 8.65 mm and 1.35 mm, 0.53 respectively, on tomato plants. These measurements were similar to those observed on maize, which had lengths of 8.24 mm and widths of 1.13 mm. The average width of head capsule on maize is 0.50. When it comes to marigold, their respective measurements for II instar larval length were 4.14 mm and 0.54 mm, with head capsule width 0.46 mm. Conversely, Pigeon pea displayed notably smaller larval measurements, with a minimum length of 3.55 mm and a minimum width of 0.44 mm with widths of head capsule 0.42 mm.

## Third instar larvae:

The lowest larval length and width measurements as well as head capsule width were observed in case of pigeon pea, measuring 7.75 mm and 0.74 mm, 0.64 mm respectively. On the other hand, marigold and maize displayed varying lengths and widths. Specifically, marigold had larval lengths of 10.58 mm and widths of 1.47 mm, maize had lengths of 11.42 mm and widths

**Comment [DB27]:** Pigeon pea or pigeon pea Decide to use the same type of character throughout the text

of 2.07 mm. Marigold and maize had average width of head capsule 0.71 mm and 0.74 mm respectively. The maximum length and width measurements were obtained from tomato, measuring 16.75 mm and 2.21 mm, respectively with head capsule width 0.87 mm. (Table 2 and 3)



Comment [DB28]: delete picture A

**Comment [DB29]:** It is a different type of picture than F




Plate 1: Morphometric study of different instars and pupae of *Spodopteralitura*. A-Morphometric study under microscope. B- Morphometric of early instars larvae. C- Head capsule measurement of early instars D-Morphometric of late instar larvae. E-Head capsule measurement of late instars larvae. F- Morphometric of pupae

### Fourth instar larvae:

In Table 2 and 3, it is evident that fully grown larvae displayed their maximum body length and width on tomato plants, measuring 26.84 mm and 2.94 mm, respectively. The head capsule width of 1.24 mm was measured on tomato. These measurements were distinct from those observed in other treatments.

# Fifth instar larvae:

The minimum larval length and width were observed on marigold 23.15 and 3.57 mm respectively. The width of head capsule 1.60 mm was measured on marigold. On maize the respective values were 24.23 mm, 3.68 mm with head capsule 1.65 mm. The maximum larval length and width were recorded from tomato 32.65 and 3.68 mm respectively. The width of head capsule 2.44 mm was measured on tomato. (Table 2 and 3)

**Comment [DB30]:** This picture is not clear.

**Comment [DB31]:** Fig 1 is not mentioned in the namer

**Comment [DB32]:** Please make sure that the picture is not disproportionate because it seems wider than normal

Comment [DB33]: Figure

Comment [DB34]: stages

Comment [DB35]: of

**Comment [DB36]:** was measured in larvae reared on tomatoes.

### Sixth instar larvae:

The fully grown larvae exhibited their maximum length and width on tomato plants, measuring 37.52 mm and 4.52 mm, respectively. Notably, width of head capsule measured on tomato was 3.35 mm. Conversely, when considering maize and marigold, their respective measurements for fully grown larval length were 29.10 mm and 28.00 mm, with widths of 4.25 mm and 4.19 mm. whereas, measurement of width of head capsule of maize and marigold 1.98 mm and 1.89 mm respectively. These measurements were statistically at par to each other as indicated in Table 2 and 3.

 Table 1: Morphometric variation of Tobacco caterpillar, S. lituralarvae and pupae on different hosts

S.N o.	Host plants	Tomato		Maize		Marigold		Pigeon pea	
<b>0.</b>	Instars	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Length (mm)	Width (mm)	Lengt h (mm)	Width (mm)
1	I	2.74±0.49	$0.45\pm0$	1.58±0.	0.34±0	1.38±	$0.26\pm0$	1.15±0	0.30±0
			.06	12	.02	0.04	.04	.09	.15
2	II	8.65±0.56	1.35±0	8.24±0.	1.13±0	4.14±0.	$0.54\pm0$	3.55±0	$0.44\pm0$
			.08	12	.06	10	.13	.12	.33
3	III	16.75±0.8	2.21±0	11.42±	2.07±0	$10.58\pm$	1.47±0	7.75±0	$0.74\pm0$
		5	.08	0.26	.05	0.23	.16	.19	.04
4	IV	26.84±0.8	2.94±0	19.25±	2.36±0	$18.78\pm$	2.28±0	-	-
		7	.24	0.33	.16	0.28	.04		
5	V	32.65±0.6	3.70±0	24.23±	3.68±0	23.15±	3.57±0	-	-
		9	.35	0.45	.02	0.39	.02		
6	VI	37.52±0.6	4.52±0	29.10±	4.25±0	$28.00\pm$	4.19±0	-	-
		1	.20	0.34	.29	0.33	.04		
7	Pupae	16.72±0.4	4.83±0	14.10±	4.42±0	16.75±	4.36±0	-	-
		9	.21	0.07	.34	0.85	.01		

**Comment [DB37]:** This sentence is not clear. Please rephrase

Comment [DB38]: delete

**Comment [DB39]:** Make sure that whole words appear. Possibly reduce the font size. It is valid for all tables

**Comment [DB40]:** Make sure that whole number appear. Possibly reduce the font size or pass the whole number to the next row. It is valid for all tables

Table 2: Width of head capsule and growth rate of Spodopteralitura during larval development

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	S.	Host	Tomato		Mai	ize	Mari	gold	Pigeor	ı Pea
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	No	plant								
s $\pm$ SE       h Ratio       SE       h ratio       SE       h ratio       SE h ratio       h ratio       1.27 ±0.0       1.48       0.42±0.0       1.55       2       2       2       2       2       3       3       1       2       2       2       2       2       3       3       1       1       2       2       2       2       3       3       3       3       1       2       2       2       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       3       <	•	S								
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Instar	1	Growt		Growt		Growt		Growt
1       I $0.34\pm0.0$ - $0.32\pm0.0$ - $0.31\pm0.0$ - $0.27\pm0.0$ -         3       2       1       1         2       II $0.53\pm0.0$ $1.55$ $0.50\pm0.0$ $1.56$ $0.46\pm0.0$ $1.48$ $0.42\pm0.0$ $1.55$ 3       1       2       2         3       II $0.87\pm0.0$ $1.48$ $0.71\pm0.0$ $1.42$ $0.64\pm0.0$ $1.52$ 2       2       1       1         4       IV $1.24\pm0.0$ $1.24$ $1.05\pm0.0$ $1.41$ $1.03\pm0.0$ $1.45$ -       -         5       V $2.44\pm0.0$ $1.96$ $1.65\pm0.0$ $1.57$ $1.60\pm0.0$ $1.55$ -       -         4       2       3		S	<b>▼</b> ±SE		SE		SE		SE	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Т	0.34+0.0	Katio	0.32+0.0		0.31+0.0	rauo	0.27+0.0	ratio
2 II $0.53\pm0.0$ $1.55$ $0.50\pm0.0$ $1.56$ $0.46\pm0.0$ $1.48$ $0.42\pm0.0$ $1.55$ 3 1 2 2 2  3 III $0.87\pm0.0$ $1.64$ $0.74\pm0.0$ $1.48$ $0.71\pm0.0$ $1.42$ $0.64\pm0.0$ $1.52$ 2 1 1  4 IV $1.24\pm0.0$ $1.24$ $1.05\pm0.0$ $1.41$ $1.03\pm0.0$ $1.45$ 2 3 2  5 V $2.44\pm0.0$ $1.96$ $1.65\pm0.0$ $1.57$ $1.60\pm0.0$ $1.55$ 3	1	1		-		-				7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3		2		1		1	
3 III 0.87±0.0 1.64 0.74±0.0 1.48 0.71±0.0 1.42 0.64±0.0 1.52  2 2 1 1  4 IV 1.24±0.0 1.24 1.05±0.0 1.41 1.03±0.0 1.45 - 2 3 2  5 V 2.44±0.0 1.96 1.65±0.0 1.57 1.60±0.0 1.55 - 4 2 3	2	II	$0.53\pm0.0$	1.55	$0.50\pm0.0$	1.56	$0.46\pm0.0$	1.48	0.42±0.0	1.55
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3		1		2		2	
4       IV       1.24±0.0       1.24       1.05±0.0       1.41       1.03±0.0       1.45       -       -         2       3       2         5       V       2.44±0.0       1.96       1.65±0.0       1.57       1.60±0.0       1.55       -       -         4       2       3	3	III	$0.87 \pm 0.0$	1.64	$0.74\pm0.0$	1.48	0.71±0.0	1.42	$0.64\pm0.0$	1.52
2 3 2 5 V 2.44±0.0 1.96 1.65±0.0 1.57 1.60±0.0 1.55 4 2 3			2		2		1		1	
<b>5 V</b> 2.44±0.0 1.96 1.65±0.0 1.57 1.60±0.0 1.55 4 2 3	4	IV	1.24±0.0	1.24	1.05±0.0	1.41	1.03±0.0	1.45	-	-
4 2 3			2		3		2			
	5	$\mathbf{V}$	$2.44\pm0.0$	1.96	1.65±0.0	1.57	1.60±0.0	1.55	-	-
<b>6 VI</b> 3.35±0.0 1.37 1.98±0.0 1.20 1.89±0.0 1.18 -			4		2		3			
	6	VI	3.35±0.0	1.37	1.98±0.0	1.20	$1.89 \pm 0.0$	1.18	-	-
2 2 1			2		2		1			

### Pupae:

Table 1 clearly illustrates that the maximum length and width of fully grownpupae were observed in marigold, measuring 16.75 mm and 4.36 mm, respectively. These measurements were statistically similar to those recorded for tomato, which had a length of 16.72 mm and 4.83 mm width. However, the lowest recorded length (14.10 mm) and width(4.42 mm) were found in maize.

#### Adult:

# Female moth:

The data presented in the table (Table 3) on the maximum body length of female moths and their wing spans. The results indicated that the longest body length was observed in female

**Comment [DB42]:** keep the space between paragraphs throughout the paper according to the writing instructions

moths from tomato, measuring 18.22 mm, followed by marigold 17.67 mmand maize 16.04 mm in maize. The maximum wing span of female moths was ontomato (38.47 mm). followed by was 37.74 mm in marigold. These measurements were similar to each other and slightly smaller wing span of female moths were recorded from maize, which measured 35.10 mm.

### Male moth:

Table 3 clearly indicated that maximum body length and wing span of male moth were observed on tomato (16.71 and 38.73 mm), followed by marigold (16.34 and 38.13 mm), and maize (14.35 and 35.12 mm).

Table 3: Morphometric variation in adult moths of Tobacco caterpillar, Spodopteralitura on different hosts.

### (A) Female:

S.	<b>Host Plants</b>	Length (mm)			Wing span (mm)		
No.		Min.	Max.	Mean± SE	Min.	Max.	Mean± SD
1	Tomato	16.40	19.45	18.22±0.34	34.90	41.11	38.73±0.57
2	Maize	14.57	16.95	16.04±0.20	31.20	37.32	35.12±0.40
3	Marigold	16.27	19.05	17.67±0.44	34.39	40.62	38.13±0.58
4	Pigeon pea	-	<b>\</b> } \	-	-	-	-

### (B) Male:

S.No.	<b>Host Plants</b>		Length(mm)			Wing span (mm)			
		Min.	Max.	Mean± SE	Min.	Max.	Mean± SD		
1	Tomato	15.10	17.97	16.71±0.42	36.10	40.95	38.47±0.80		
2	Maize	12.11	15.87	14.35±0.45	32.65	36.87	35.10±0.43		
3	Marigold	14.80	17.78	16.34±0.41	35.92	39.77	37.74±0.70		
4	Pigeon pea	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Comment [DB43]: delete




Plate 2: Morphometric study on adult moth of *Spodopteralitura*. A- Adult moth of tomato. B- Adult moth of maize. C- Adult moth of marigold.

Comment [DB44]: These pictures are not acceptable and required in paper. Please delete. Moths are not prepared correctly. The wings must be stretched very well to have correct results on the wingspan. It is necessary to prepare the moths and repeat the measurements on the wingspan. Also, such pictures are not relevant to the work. After you prepare the butterflies again and take the measurements, I recommend that you do not insert the pictures into the paper.

#### **Discussion**

The comparative impact of four different host plants viz., maize, tomato, marigold, and pigeon pea were studied on the morphological characteristics of *S. litura*. Notably, the larvae of *S. litura* exhibited the most significant increase in both length and width when reared on tomato plants, followed by maize, marigold and pigeon pea. This observation aligns with the findings of Kumar and Bhattacharya (2019), who also noted similar trends in larval development when fed on tomato leaves.

Average width of head capsule of *S. litura* were measured on four different host, maximum width was observed on tomato 3.35 mm, followed, by maize 1.98 and least development, 1.89 mm, was recorded on marigold.

In terms of pupal development, marigold plants yielded the most substantial pupae, with a recorded length of 16.75 mm and a width of 4.36 mm, followed by tomato, maize. This result is consistent with the research of Ramzan et al. (2020), who reported pupal measurements of 14.22 mm in length and 4.10 mm in width on maize. On the contrary, Ramya and Jha (2018) observed different pupal dimensions of 16.72 mm in length and 4.83 mm in width when reared on tomato leaves under controlled laboratory conditions.

Regarding the adult stage, tomato proved to be the most favourable host, yielding females with a maximum body length of 18.22 mm and a wing span of 38.73 mm, and males with a body length of 16.71 mm and a wing span of 38.47 mm. Marigold also supported relatively larger adults, while maize was the least suitable host. These findings are in line with those reported by Kumari et al. (2022), who found similar measurements for males (15.84 mm in length and 32.12 mm in wing span) and females (14.08 mm in length and 31.64 mm in wing span) when reared *S.litura* on maize in laboratory conditions. Based on the morphological characteristics observed at different developmental stages, it can be concluded that tomato is the most conducive host for the growth of *S. litura*, followed by marigold and maize.

#### **Conclusion:**

The first to sixth instars of *S. litura*exhibited significantly greater length and width as well as width of head capsule, when reared on the tomato as a host. The pupae of *S. litura* 

**Comment [DB45]:** was measured according to the four host plants;

**Comment [DB46]:** Why the contrary? You obtain the same value for pupal reared on tomato leaves: 16.72. Also, the measurement values on marigolds and tomatoes are very close:16.75/16.72. The differences are insignificant

Comment [DB47]: delete

Comment [DB48]: sizes

Comment [DB49]: larvae were

reached at their maximum length and width when raised on marigold (16.75 mm and 4.36 mm), while the minimum pupal measurements (14.10 mm and 4.42 mm was observed in case of maize). In regards to the adult moths, both male and female specimens displayed the longest length and wing span when associated with tomato (16.71 mm and 18.22 mm for length, 38.47 mm and 38.73 mm for wingspan, respectively), whereas the smallest measurements were recorded for those associated with maize (14.35 mm and 16.95 mm for length, 35.10 mm and 35.12 mm wingspan respectively).

#### REFERENCES

- Basu AC (1944) Effect of different food on larval and post larval development of moths of *ProdenialituraFabricius* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Bombay natural history society, 44: 275-88
- CABI (2019) Invasive Species Compendium: Datasheets, maps, images, abstracts and full text on invasive species of the world. Available: http://www.cabi.Org/isc/datasheet/44520. Accessed 18 November 2019.
- Chari MS and Patel NG (1983) Cotton leaf worm *Spodopteralitura*, its biology and integrated control measures. Journal of Cotton Research & Development, 13: 7-8.
- Kumari, K, Saha, T (2022). Morphometric studies of fall armyworm, *Spodopterafrugiperda* (je smith) on maize. Journal of Experimental Zoology India, 25(2)
- Patel CC, Chari MS (1987) Bio-ecological studies on Tobacco leaf eating caterpillar *Spodopteralitura*Tob Res, 13, 1-8.
- Ramya SN, Jha S (2018) Biology and morphometry of *Spodopteralitura* (*Fabricius*) on tomato. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 6(5): 80-82
- Ramzan M, Sajid Z, Sattar Z, Abbas D, Yaseen T, Mehmood S, Yaseen I (2020) Biological and Morphological Parameters of Armyworm, *Spodopteralitura*in Cabbage and Maize Plants under Laboratory Conditions in Southern Punjab, Pakistan. Journal of Environmental Issues and Agriculture in Developing Countries, 12: 2-3
- Shivayogeshwara B, Mallikarjunaiah H, Krishnaprasad NK and Shetty MVN (1991) Integrated management of *Spodopteralitura*Fabricius (Noctuidae: Lepidoptera) in FCV tobacco crop. Tobacco Research, 17: 59-61.
- Thobbi VV (1961) Growth potential of *Prodenialitura*Fabricius in relation to certain food plants in Surat, Indian Journal Entomology 22: 262-64.

Comment [DB50]: More references are required