

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_115359
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF FOLIAR NUTRITION ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF PIGEONPEA [Cajanus cajan. (L.) Mill sp.]
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. The manuscript offers valuable insights into enhancing the growth and yield of pigeonpea, a crucial rainfed pulse crop in Karnataka, through foliar nutrition interventions. The findings highlight significant improvements in growth parameters and yield attributes, particularly with the application of RDF combined with foliar application of 1% pulse magic during flowering and pod formation stages. This research contributes to addressing challenges associated with climate variability-induced fluctuations in pigeonpea yield, thereby offering practical strategies for optimizing crop productivity in rainfed agricultural systems 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. NO 5. Yes, but in Conclusion section require more explanation 6. Not Required	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pradeep Kumar Rajput
Department, University & Country	India