

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113596
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED BY SUGARCANE FARMERS DURING CULTIVATION AND MARKETING IN KERALA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>In Kerala, India, sugarcane farming is a major part of agricultural activities and supports both the rural economy and way of life. Nevertheless, there are numerous obstacles Kerala's sugarcane industry must overcome during the cultivation and marketing phases. These difficulties have an impact on the farmers' well-being and capacity to sustain their businesses in addition to their output.</p> <p>Yes. .</p> <p>Yes. Though conclusion are not inline with the objectives. Recommendation are missing</p> <p>Yes though can be improved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes.though should only be five (5) years old in most cases and should contain recent research works that are relevant to the current topic, with the exception of books that are regarded as timeless classics or archive studies in that field.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>A thorough and insightful critical analysis of the chosen works should be included in the introduction to show what has been done and what has not, so highlighting the knowledge gaps that need to be filled.The The literature should capture constraints faced by sugarcane farmers which include; Agricultural Practices and Technological Constraints, Market Access and Pricing Mechanisms, Policy and Institutional Constraints and Environmental and Socioeconomic Factors. It should bring the gap clearly; why you chose cultivation and marketing? The paper results lack linkage to previous studies and hence, there is need for some changes/modifications.</p> <p>Include the map of the study area and how sampling was done</p> <p>Abstract, introduction, material and methods needs enhancement</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen K. Kimutai
Department, University & Country	Moi University, Kenya