Review Form 1.7

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_113596 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CONSTRAINTS FACED BY SUGARCANE FARMERS DURING CULTIVATION AND MARKETING IN KERALA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | Yes, if all identified observations and correction were taken, the study will be a contribution to knowledge | |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | CONSTRAINTS OF SUGARCANE FARMERS DURING CULTIVATION AND MARKETING IN KERALA | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | The abstract need to show distributions of findings in the selected study area as well as the ranking score for major constraints. | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | Yes, if all major corrections identified and effected | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of | res, if all major corrections identified and effected | |
| additional references, please mention in the review form. | Yes | |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes if minor corrections identified are effected. | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | The article is relevant and will contribute to existing body of knowledge. However, observations suggestions were made to improve the quality of the work. | |
| | The methodology needs to be explicit to clearly present a picture of the methods used and the extent of the study location. This will help guide the readers to understand the scope and procedures used for data collection and analysis. | |
| | A map showing the study area will add value. | |
| | The result presentation need to clearly show the distribution of findings for the communities studied. | |
| | | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Musa Musa |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Department, University & Country | Nigeria |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)