Original Research Article

Assessment of Genetic Diversity among Quantitative Traits of Chickpea Genotypes

ABSTRACT

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) is the most important pulse crop providing the livelihood to farmers. India is one of the topmost countries in chickpea production followed by middle-east. Assessment of morphological traits and their genetic diversity will help in increasing production of chickpea. A comprehensive evaluation of 44 distinct chickpea genotypes was conducted during 2020-21 *Rabi* season at RLBCAU, Jhansi. Utilizing Tocher's method and D^2 values, the studied genotypes were meticulously organized into nine non-overlapping clusters, with cluster (I) comprising the most, featuring 17 genotypes, followed by cluster (II) with 12 genotypes. Significant genetic diversity was evident, especially between clusters (IV) and (VI) (2710.13) followed by (V) and (VI) (2565.51), highlighting the diversity among these genotypes. Key contributors to this divergence were 100-seed weight (48.8%), number of secondary branches per plant (26.5%), and seed yield per plant (9%). Cluster (VIII) exhibited higher mean values for days to maturity (140.57), while cluster (VII) had elevated values for the number of pods per plant (84.61). Genotypes like Phule G 171105, RVSSG 81, RLBG 6, GL-16063, and RKG 19-2 emerged as potential contributors to future breeding programs, promising significant hybrid vigour and superior heterotic segregants to advance chickpea genetics.

Key words: Chickpea, Genotypes, Genetic diversity, heterotic segregants.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Chickpea is one of the most demanding and superior leguminous crops in most of the Indian states referred to as "King of Pulses." Chickpea is an autogamous flowering plant with a genomic dimension of 738 Mbp having a true diploid chromosomal makeup of 2n=2x=16" (Varshney*et al.* 2013). "In India, chickpea recorded the highest ever production of 13.75 million tonnes covering an area of 10.91 million hectares with a productivity of 12.61 qt/ha" (Anonymous, 2022).

Legumes as preceding crops in the rotation also increases the protein content of succeeding cereals and helps in reducing mycotoxin contamination (Lengwati*et al*. 2020). Crop plant improvement is greatly impacted by genetic diversity and this knowledge has been extensively utilised for genotype selection, identification, and germplasm conservation.

Selecting the genotypes with desirable traits, either independently or in combinations, frequently determines how yield is enhanced. This suggests the importance of adequate genetic variability in the population as well as efficient selection standards for identifying enhanced genotypes for yield and associated traits. The germplasm serves as a reservoir for genetic diversity and helpful for conserving biodiversity of agricultural crop that is designed to satisfy the changing demands for developing improved crop varieties. In addition, it's essential for economic attributes in the germplasm for better utilisation after hybridization, selection or breeding methods.To overcome the genetic bottlenecks and create superior gene pools, broadening the genetic base through pre-breeding is required to enhance the utility of germplasm (Singh et al. 2022).Genetic diversity is crucial for a germplasm collection as it primarily assists to plant breeders to maintain superior hybrids/crossbred varieties and various genes that could lead to resistance to pests, diseases, or other stress conditions (Salgotra et al. 2023).The D^2 analysis classifies the genotypes into relatively homogeneous groups within the clusters(Dvivedi*et al*. 2023).The purpose of this research was to assess the genetic diversity of the chickpea germplasm and identify genetically divergent parents from the available germplasm before initiating a hybridization programme to improve the heterotic response for subsequent breeding efforts.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of 44chickpea genotypes indigenous collectionsdeveloped under ambit of All India Coordinated Research Project (AICRP) (**Table. 1**). These genotypes showed a broad range of diversity in terms of various morphological and agronomic traits. These genotypes were evaluated in randomized block design with three replicates under well-irrigated condition at research farm ofRLBCAU, Jhansi (U.P.) during Rabi2020-21. Each genotype was sown with 4 m row length with four rows per plot in each replication spaced by inter rows (30 cm)and intra rows (10 cm)with all recommended agronomic practices.In present study observations were taken for quantitative traits *viz* plant height "PH" (cm), leaflet size "LS" (mm), peduncle length "PEDL" (mm), pod length "PODL" (mm), No. of primary branches per plant "PBP", No. of secondary branches per plant "SBP", No. of pods per plant "PPP", No. of seeds per pod "SPP", 100-seed weight "100SW" (g), biological yield per plant "BYP" (g), harvest index "HI" (%) and seed yield per plant "SYP" (g).While days to flowering "DF" and days to maturity "DM"were recorded on plot basis.Divergence analysis was carried out as suggested by Mahalanobis's (1936).

Table 1: List of chickpea genotypes used for present study [28]

S.N.	Genotypes	Pedigree	
1	GL-16063	GPF 2 x [PBG 1 x (ICCV 96030 x C. *pinnatifidum 188)* x ICCV 96030]	Ludhiana, Punjab
2	BRC 9-14	SAKI 19516 x GNG 1958	Dholi, Bihar
3	GNG 2462	GNG1958 x BG1064	Sriganganagar, Rajasthan
4	GJG 1707	GJG 0107 x GJG 0207	Junagadh, Gujarat
5	BG 4010	JG 11 / BG 1098	IARI, New Delhi
6	PG 227	M 35 (selection from MAGIC cross involving 8 parents)	Pantnagar, Uttarakhand
7	NBeG 690	ICCV 03112 x JAKI 9218	Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
8	IPC 2015-12	IPC 2009-50 x IPC 2007-88	IIPR, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh
9	NBeG 698	ICCV 03112 x JAKI 9218	Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
10	ADBG 487	ICC4958 TM x JAKI9218	Adilabad, Telangana
11	RVSSG 79	JG 11 x JSC52	Sehore, Madhya Pradesh
12	RKG 19-1	JAKI 9218 x ICCV 00108	Kota, Rajasthan
13	DC 18-1107	ICC 4958 TM/JG 130	Dholi, Bihar
14	BAUG 106	JG 11 x ICC 4958	Ranchi, Jharkhand
15	PG 237	M 47 (Selection from MAGIC cross involving 8 parents)	Pantnagar, Uttarakhand
16	GJG 1708	GJG 0727 x GCP 101	Junagadh, Gujarat
17	JG 2019-155-118	ICCV 05530 x ICCV 88510	Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh
18	Phule G 171103	(JG 11 x ICC 4552) x (ICCC 37 x ICC 5683)	Rahuri, Maharashtra
19	H 13-36	PDG84-16 x H04-31	Hisar, Haryana
20	RLBG 6	JG 14/ICCV 96836	Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh
21	DC 18-1104	Genesis 836/JAKI 9218	Dholi, Bihar
22	DBGC 1	BGD256 x WR315	CER Patna, Bihar
23	Phule G 171105	(ICC 4958 x ICCV 97105) x (ICCV 10 x ICCV 00108)	Rahuri,Madhya Pradesh
24	BG 4011	F1[F1(ICC4958 x ICCV10) x F1(Pusa372 x Pusa 256)] x F1(Pusa 547 x JAKI 9218)	IARI, New Delhi
25	H 12-22	HC1 x (HC1 x ICCV96030	Hisar, Haryana
26	IPC 2016-107	IPC 2009-50 x IPC 2007-88	IIPR Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh
27	NDG 18-2	MPJGK6 x BG 2058) x BGD 112	Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh
28	RSGD 1071	RSG-931 x JG-11	Jaipur, Rajasthan
29	BDNG 2017-44	Digvijay x ICC 4533	Badnapur, Maharashtra

30	RSGD 1057	JG-11 x RSG 973	Jaipur, Rajasthan
31	GL 17020	ICCX 04147 x ICCX 040126	Ludhiana, Punjab
32	BDNG 2017-49	BDNG 804 x BDNG 797	Badnapur, Maharashtra
33	BUC-1	Genesis 836 x JAKI 9218	Banda, Uttar Pradesh
34	IPCD 2016-44	IPC 2008-57 x WR 315	IIPR Dharwad, Karnataka
35	RKG 19-2	ICCV-14103 x BGD 72	Kota, Rajasthan
36	NDG 18-9	(MPJGK 6 x BG 2058) x BGD 112	Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh
37	GNG 2477	GNG 1581 x ICC1 2951	Sriganganagar, Rajasthan
38	AKG 1506	JAKI 9218 x AKG 46	Akola, Maharashtra
39	RVSSG 81	JAKI 9218 x JSC 52	Sehore, Madhya Pradesh
40	GCP 101	GCP 2 x ICCV 2	Junagadh, Gujarat
41	RVG 202	(JAKI 9226 x DCP 20) x JG 412	Sehore, Madhya Pradesh
42	JG 315	Selection from WR 315	Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh
43	JG 16	ICCV 4 x ICCV 10	Sehore, Madhya Pradesh
44	Phule G 0405	Digvijay x WCG 2002-2	Rahuri, Maharashtra

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To test the significance of trait contribution in making of clusters (I to IX) among the genotypes analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985). The results indicating that the major7 traits were namely DF, DM, PH, 100SW, PPP, SBP, and SYP had the significant value ($p \leq 0.005$) over all the traits. Means the above significant traits have the crucial role in clustering the currentmaterial (**Table. 2**).

Table 2: ANOVA for cluster analysis of yield and its attributingtraits

Traits	Cluster mean square	df	Error mean square	df	F	P value
DF	38.237**	8	8.525	35	4.485	0.001
DM	80.973**	8	18.43	35	4.394	0.001
PH	185.36**	8	20.803	35	8.91	0
LS	1.915	8	2.054	35	0.933	0.503
PEDL	5.684	8	9.239	35	0.615	0.759
PODL	10.517	8	8.568	35	1.228	0.312
PBP	1.05	8	0.358	35	2.933	0.013
SBP	51.928*	8	15.006	35	3.46	0.005

PPP	1690.29**	8	39.923	35	42.339	0
100 SW	71.821**	8	16.392	35	4.381	0.001
SPP	0.063	8	0.04	35	1.588	0.164
BYP	46.582	8	14.564	35	3.198	0.008
SYP	19.157*	8	5.752	35	3.331	0.005
HI	0.003	8	0.005	35	0.563	0.801

* and ** correlation significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level of significance

DF-Days to 50% flowering, **DM**-Days to maturity, **PH**-Plant height, **LS**-Leaflet size , **PEDL**-Peduncle length, **PODL**-Podlength, **PBP**-Number of primary branches, **SBP**- Number of secondary branches, **PPP**- Number of pods per plant, 100 **SW**- Weight of 100 seeds, **SPP**-Number of seeds per pods, **BYP**- Biological yield per plant , **SYP**- Seed yield per plant , **HI**- Harvest index.

3.1 Genetic divergence using Mahalanobis's D^2 analysis

The germplasm is a collection of genotypes which were used to improve crop varieties for yield increment to cope emerging demands (Shivwanshi*et al.*2019).Divergent parentswere usedfor selection of improved genotypes for obtaining transgressive segregations has also been addressed. Previously, researchers used it forthe spatialorigin as a measure of genetic variety and to choose eliteparents for hybridizationprograms. However, factors such as genetic diversity, place of release and ploidy level, do necessarily, influence on the variety of selected parents. Thus, reliable statistical techniques such as D^2 statistics couldbe used to characterise germplasm for genetic divergence for selecting appropriate genotypes. Characterization and estimationof genetic diversity has long been a predominant goal in crop improvement programs. Mahalanobis' D^2 statistic for yield and its component characteristics was used to measure genetic divergence quantitatively.

In that view, studiedgenotypes were grouped into nine clusters based on D^2 values using Tocher's method (Rao, 1952);accordingly,the genotypes belonging to same cluster had an average smaller D^2 values than those belonging to different clusters. The base to select parents for a targeted breeding goalcan be achievedby creating clusters and finding of intra- and inter-cluster divergence (Pandey *et al*., 2015). The distribution of genotypes into various clusters has been presented in **Table 3**. Out of 9 clusters, cluster I was the largest cluster which it comprises of 17 genotypes followed by cluster II with 12 genotypes, cluster III with 4 genotypes, cluster IV with 3 genotypes, cluster V with 3 genotypes, and cluster VI with 2 genotypes.whileclusters VII, VIII, and IX were mono-genotypic clusters. These results suggest the existence of high degree of heterogeneity among the genotypes. Grouping of genotypes was not relatedtothe geographical distribution and were mainly grouped based on morphological differences.

Table 3: Clustering pattern of 44 genotypes into different 9 clusters

Cluster Number	Number of genotypes	Genotypes
Cluster I	17	GJG 1707, BG 4010, PG 227, NBeG 690, IPC 2015-12, NBeG 698, RKG 19-1, DC 18-1107, BAUG 106, DC 18-1104, DBGC 1, BG 4011, BDNG 2017-44, GL 17020, BUC-1, IPCD 2016-44, AKG 1506
Cluster II	12	BRC 9-14, PG 237, H 13-36, NDG 18-2, RSGD 1071, RSGD 1057, BDNG 2017-49, NDG 18-9, GNG 2477, GCP 101, JG 315, JG 16
Cluster III	4	GNG 2462, ADBG 487, GJG 1708, RVG 202
Cluster IV	3	Phule G 171105, RVSSG 81, RLBG 6
Cluster V	3	RVSSG 79, JG 2019-155-118, Phule G 171103
Cluster VI	2	GL-16063, RKG 19-2
Cluster VII	1	H 12-22
Cluster VIII	1	IPC 2016-107
Cluster IX	1	Phule G 0405

3.2 Contribution of characters towards divergence of the genotypes

The characters with high contribution (%) towards the divergence should be given prime importance during selection (Shivwanshi*et al.,* 2019). The percentage of individual contribution towards genetic divergence by all the studied traits is presented in **Table 4**. The results showed that 100 seed weight (g) (48.8%) has the maximum contribution to genetic divergence followed by number of secondary branches per plant (26.5%), seed yield per plant (g) (9%);these results indicated that these traits offer great scope for effective selection of the desired genotypes for further breeding improvement program of chickpea.Similar findings were reported by Kumar *et al*. (2018), where he found that 100 seed weight (g) trait contribution (46%) in total divergence of chickpea genotypes.In contrast,negligible contribution towards genetic divergence was recorded for traits pod length (0.9%), days to 50% flowering (0.5%), days to maturity (0.5%) and harvest index (0.4%). Bapurao*et al.* (2018), Thakur *et al.* (2018), Pandey *et al.* (2013)found that 100 seed weight has the greatest contribution in the genetic divergence inchickpea genotypes. Malik *et al.* (2014) also reported that seed yield per plant had a great impact forgenetic diversity in chickpea.

Table 4: Relative contribution of traits in total divergence of chickpea genotypes

Sl. No.	Traits as a source of divergence	Contribution (%)
1.	Days to 50% flowering	0.5
2.	Days to maturity	0.5
3.	Plant height	1.3
4.	Leaflet size	5.4
5.	Peduncle length	1.1
6.	Pod length	0.9
7.	Number of primary branches per plant	1
8.	Number of secondary branches per plant	26.5
9.	Number of pods per plant	1.3
10.	100 seed weight	48.8
11.	Number of seeds per pod	1.1
12.	Biological yield per plant	2.1
13.	Seed yield per plant	9
14.	Harvest index	0.4

3.3 Average inter and intra cluster distances among clusters

D^2 statistic measures the forces of differentiation at two levels namely, intra cluster and inter cluster levels. It might be easier for making crosses between genotypes separated by high estimates of statistical distance, as D^2 values indicate the cluster's genetic diversity index (Parhe*et al.*2014). The average intra and inter cluster D^2 values are presented in **Table 5** and statistical distance among the studiedgenotypes. Intra cluster D^2 values ranged from zero (cluster VII, VIII and IX) to 353.18 (cluster I). Maximum intra cluster distance was observed in cluster I (353.18), followed by cluster III (279.40), in cluster VI (275.46), cluster II (273.12), cluster V (263.49), cluster IV (201.86).

Table 5: Intra and Inter cluster distances among the different clusters

Clusters	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
Cluster I	353.18	652.6	646.73	959.82	878.21	935.07	784.86	706.93	917.67

Cluster II		273.12	945.01	1712.39	1969.16	548.85	598.41	864.2	1115.2
Cluster III			279.40	812.78	735.34	1836.66	1344.07	1027.13	871.05
Cluster IV				201.86	981.04	2710.13	1058.42	2366.07	468.66
Cluster V					263.49	2565.51	2277.17	1162.39	1622.59
Cluster VI						275.46	967.16	763.67	2045.69
Cluster VII							0	1874.81	524.98
Cluster VIII								0	2180.48
Cluster IX									0

The inter cluster distance was greater than intra cluster distance **(Table5)**, indicating the presence of wide genetic diversity among the different genotypes. Maximum inter cluster distance was recorded between cluster IV and VI (2710.13) followed by cluster V and VI (2565.51),which indicated that the genotypes found in above clusters might produce high heterotic response and thereby superior segregants (Lal *et al.,* 2001). Distance is directly proportional to the wider genetic diversity between two clusters. Highly divergent genotypes would be of great use in breeding programme in order to make highly desirable recombinants. The lowest inter cluster divergence was recorded between cluster VII and IX (524.98) indicating that the genotypes included in these clusters were closely related. Selection should be performed in genetically diversified clusters to maintain relatively broad genetic base. Similar results were also revealed by Qudeer*et al.* (2021),Janghel*et al.* (2020), Thakur *et al.* (2018), Bapurao*et al.* (2018)**,** Malik *et al.* (2014), Pandey *et al.* (2013), Syed *et al.* (2012) in chickpea genetic divergence analysis.

3.4 Cluster mean values of different characters

The mean value of the 14 quantitative traits in each cluster was presented in **Table 6**. Cluster mean value for days to 50% flowering was highest in Cluster IX (83.29) and lowest in cluster V (80.11). Days to maturity were showed the highest and lowest in cluster VIII (140.57) and cluster III (131.58) respectively. Cluster VI exhibited highest number of primary branches (5.08) while in cluster V it was lowest (3.81). Similarly, in cluster IV was showed highest number of secondary branches (26.59) while cluster VI displayed lowest number of secondary branches (14.05). The number of pods per plant was highest observed in cluster VII (84.61) and lowest pods were found in cluster V (52.07).

Table 6: Mean performance of agronomic traits in nine different clusters

Clusters / Traits	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX
DF	80.88	82.92	81.50	81.56	80.11[L]	81.37	83.23	82.52	83.29[H]
DM	133.45	137.19	131.58[L]	134.56	138.78	132.15	136.05	140.57[H]	140.41
PH (cm)	60.88[H]	53.45	59.11	59.22	56.39	54.57	52.04[L]	54.02	57.23
LS (mm)	8.98	8.66	10.97[H]	9.63	9.96	9.21	8.29[L]	8.98	9.18
PEDL (mm)	14.15	12.17	13.19	11.70	11.66[L]	15.39	16.16[H]	12.79	11.93
PODL (mm)	23.35	22.50	23.67	24.92[H]	24.55	22.63	22.21[L]	22.99	23.94
PBP	4.27	4.34	4.08	4.70	3.81[L]	5.08[H]	4.91	4.52	3.99
SBP	17.38	14.36	14.32	26.59[H]	15.92	14.05[L]	18.24	16.37	15.68
PPP	71.39	68.47	62.79	78.55	52.07[L]	79.50	84.61[H]	71.72	65.20
100SW (g)	23.24	17.06	26.23	27.71	32.59[H]	20.60	17.00[L]	18.58	20.69
SPP	1.32	1.32	1.27	1.09[L]	1.40	1.47[H]	1.27	1.16	1.16
BYP (g)	17.41	11.67[L]	14.20	20.49	19.67	18.11	17.64	17.28	21.18[H]
SYP (g)	10.73	7.48[L]	9.41	13.08	12.23	10.97	11.12	11.00	13.71[H]
HI (%)	0.63	0.60	0.53[L]	0.57	0.63	0.66	0.68[H]	0.67	0.64

*Where, H- Highest and L- Lowest

Utilizing parents from the most divergent clusters are expected to manifest greatest heterosis in crossing and wide variability in genetic makeup of a crop (Chowdhury *et al*., 2002; Kumar *et al*., 2016). Highest 100 seed weight was observed in cluster V (32.59 g) and lowest was recoded for cluster VII (17.0 g). Highest biological yield per plant was observed for cluster IX (21.18 g) and lowest value observed for cluster II (11.67 g). Similarly, for seed yield per plant cluster IX had highest value (13.71 g) and lowest seed yield observed for cluster II (7.48 g). The investigation into genetic divergence among the 44 chickpea genotypes involved D^2 cluster analysis.

4. Conclusion

Emphasizing selection within genetically diverse clusters is pivotal to maintain a broad genetic base. The classification into nine clusters, primarily highlighted by substantial representation in cluster I with 17 genotypes, underscores the diversity within these groups. Notably, clusters IV and VI exhibited the most significant inter-cluster distance (2710.13), housing promising genotypes like Phule G 171105, RVSSG 81, RLBG 6, GL-16063, and

RKG 19-2. These diversified genotypes hold significant promise for future experimentation, breeding programs, and hybridization efforts. Their potential as parent genotypes in breeding programs could yield superior heterotic segregants, contributing to the development of more adaptable and robust chickpea varieties.

REFRENCES

5. Anonymous, 2022. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2022. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmer Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, New Delhi, p. 43.

6. BapuraoAB, Kumar S, Suresh B G and KumarA. 2018. Genetic diversity studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm. *Journal of Food Legumes* **31**(4): 261-264.

7. Chowdhary M A, VVanderberg and T Warkentin.2002. Cultivar identification and genetic relationship among selected breeding lines and cultivars in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Euphytica***172**: 317-325

8. Dvivedi, RS, Singh B, Pathak V N, Verma S Pand Pandey K K.2023. Genetic variability, character association and component analysis in wheat. *Journal of AgriSearch***10**(3): 151-157.

9. Janghel D K, Kumar K, Sunil R and Chhabra A K. 2020. Genetic diversity analysis, characterization and evaluation of elite chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. *InternationalJournal of CurrentMicrobiology and Applied Sciences***9**(01): 199-209.

10. Kumar S, Singh P and Sharma M. 2016. Genetic divergence and stability (AMMI) study in chickpea (*Ciceraeritinum* L.) under north western Himalayas of Jammu and Kashmir, India.*Legume Research-An International Journal***40**(5): 842-845.

11. Kumar A, Perween S, Kumar M, Kumar S, Satyendra, Kumar RR and Kumar S. 2018 Genetic diversity analysis and identification of promising lines for hybridization in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Journal of AgriSearch***5**(4): 236-239.

12. Lal D, Krishna R and Singh G. 2001. Genetic divergence in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research* **14**(1): 63-64.

13. Lengwati D M, Mathews C &Dakora F D. 2020. Rotation benefits from N_2-fixinggrain legumes to cereals: From increases in seed yield and quality to greater household cash-income by a following maize crop. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems* **4**: 94.

14. Mahalanobis P C. 1936. On the generalized distance in statistics. *Proceedings of the National Institute of Science* of *India* **2**: 49-55.

15. Malik S R, Shabbir G, Zubir M, Iqbal S M and Ali A. 2014. Genetic diversity analysis of morphogenetic traits in desi chickpea *(Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **16**(5).

16. Pandey A, Gupta S, Kumar A, Thongbam P D and Pattanayak A. 2013. Genetic divergence, path coefficient and cluster analysis of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars, in the mid-altitudes of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **83**(12): 1300-1304.

17. Pandey S. 2015. Genetic diversity analysis in chickpea grown under heat stress conditions of Madhya Pradesh. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding* **6**(4): 962-971.

18. Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR Publication, New Delhi, p. 145-152.

19. Parhe SD, PN Harer and DR Nagawade. 2014. Investigation of genetic divergence in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes. *Bioscan***9**(2): 879-882.

20. Qudeer Z, Akhtar M, Khalid M J, Rashid K, Mahmood M T, Cheema K L and Hussain A. 2021. Investigation of genetic diversity in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm and its implications for genetic advancement program. *Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology***22**(39-40): 111-117.

21. Rao CR. 1952. Advanced statistical methods in biometrical research. John Wiley and Sons, New York. pp: 45-110.

22. Salgotra RK, Chauhan BS. Genetic Diversity, Conservation, and Utilization of Plant Genetic Resources. Genes (Basel). 2023 Jan 9;**14**(1):174. doi: 10.3390/genes14010174. PMID: 36672915; PMCID: PMC9859222.

23. Shivwanshi R &Babbar A. 2019. Genetic divergence analysis in chickpea germplasm. *Legume Research-An International Journal***42**(5): 715-718.

24. Singh RK, Singh C, Ambika, Chandana BS, Mahto RK, Patial R, Gupta A, Gahlaut V, Gayacharan, Hamwieh A, Upadhyaya HD and Kumar R (2022) Exploring Chickpea Germplasm Diversity for Broadening the Genetic Base Utilizing Genomic Resourses. Front. Genet. **13**:905771. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2022.905771

25. Syed M. A, Islam M R, Hossain M S, Alam M M and Amin M N. 2012. Genetic divergence in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research* **37**(1): 129-136.

26. Thakur N R, Toprope V N and Phanindra K S. 2018. Genetic diversity analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6:**904-910.

27. Varshney R K, Song C, Saxena R K, Azam S, Yu S, Sharpe A Gand Cook D R. 2013. Draft genome sequence of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) provides a resource for trait improvement. *Nature Biotechnology* **31**(3): 240-246.

28. DilipPanwar, Anshuman Singh and Pramod Kumar Sharma. Exploiting Indigenous Collections for efficient Utilization of Chickpea (Cicerarietinum L.) Genotypes for Crop Improvement based on Agro-Morphological Traits. Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(9): 778-785(2023)