

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109113
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge Gap and Safe Use of Pesticides by Vegetable Growers of Bihar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	yes Title of the paper should precise and particular There is need work on abstract they can give graphical abstract for better understanding Titles of the subsections are correct but there are lot of corrections to made in each section like; Page Line number should continue there is no line number in your manuscript; Introduction part is too short you have be extent; Material method are not much sufficient for result output in your article ; Some of lines mentioned in introduction has no mean or not relevant; In part of result and discussion only tables are mentioned there is a need of elaborations on the other hand no literature review given in the discussion part; Conclusion part should be supported by the relevant data to justify the result and need to mention some recent research work in support of your work. Reference are less in number All reference should be arrange in proper pattern provide by the journal	Noted Revised Done Corrected
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical mistakes are there in the article that need to be correct	
Optional/General comments	Reviewer's Comments 1. Page Line number should continue there is no line number in your manuscript 2. Title of the paper should precise and particular 3. Introduction part is too short you have be extent 4. References cited in the text are just cited randomly you have to cite only related references that are supporting your article 5. Material method are not much sufficient for result output in your article 6. Some of lines mentioned in introduction has no mean or not relevant 7. In part of result and discussion only tables are mentioned there is a need of elaborations on the other hand no literature review given in the discussion part 8. Conclusion part should be supported by the relevant data to justify the result and need to mention some recent research work in support of your work 9. Reference are less in number 10. All reference should be arrange in proper pattern provide by the	Amended revision Noted Effected revision

Review Form 1.7

	journal Above mentioned are suggested for this manuscript Manuscript need to revised as per comments	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	