

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_115572
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS OF HCV AMONG OPHTHALMIC PATIENTS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The authors of this manuscript have an ambitious objective and draw on an interesting dataset. I thoroughly enjoyed reading this manuscript, and I believe it has a lot of promises. I propose that this original work be accepted after minor adjustments have been made. The content is a collection of weak phrases, and the presentation is poor. there are occasions when this manuscript's language and sentence structures are unintelligible. For a good peer review, the article requires extensive language editing and a complete rewrite. Throughout the text, there are several verbs and phrases that are repeated. Both their conclusion and abstract were poorly written. There are many abbreviations throughout the text without any clarification, especially in your figures as well as tables. In addition to being insufficient, the references are also outdated.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shahira H. EL-Moslamy
Department, University & Country	Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, City of Scientific Research and Technological Applications , Egypt