SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPAS_53035**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Close-Knit-Regression: An Efficient Technique In Estimating Missing Completely at Random Data**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic is an interesting one but I have some suggestions/comments to make with sole aim of improving the quality of the final publication. These are given below: From the introduction, author(s) needs to really present more robust background information to this study. Also, a brief literature review (empirical studies) on this study may be incorporated in this section. In line 73, you may need to use more appropriate word other than "thesis". In the tail-end of this introduction, author(s) needs to present a clear and concise objectives of this study in a flowing sentence. Sources of all the tables and figures presented in this manuscript should be included at the bottom of each of them. The description of the materials and methods presented here should be a bit simplified especially for your readers for better understanding. Also, author(s) needs to compare the results of the findings in this study with the already existing empirical studies in literature either to support your findings or refute them. With this kind of study, I feel some recommendations should emanate from the results of the findings. It is important for author(s) to include some of these recommendations immediately after the conclusion or may feel like merging both the conclusion and recommendations together (Conclusion and Recommendations).	
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Olutosin A. Otekunrin**
Department, University & Country	**Federal University of Agriculture, Nigeria**