

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_107757
Title of the Manuscript:	HAEMOCHOLECYST: A SOURCE OF GASTROINTESTINAL BLEEDING IN A COVID-19 PATIENT
Type of the Article	Case report

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<b>Reviewers comment-</b> Abstract- written well Case- Rare case. It is not understood, why such a serious case with COVID-19, End-stage renal failure, Hb 4.9 Gm% was operated. Angio embolization of the cystic artery is possibly the treatment. However, death still could be a possibility. The images speak on the case report. The images are of poor quality to convince the reader.	<p>Thank you for your valuable feedback.</p> <p>Angioembolization is the ideal minimally invasive intervention prior to considering surgery.</p> <p>However, the case was presented to our center, a district healthcare setting, without advanced radiological modalities or subspecialty backup.</p> <p>After a period of optimization, the patient was brought to the operating theatre once all clinical parameters were within an acceptable range.</p> <p>We've submitted the best available images, even though they may not be of the highest quality.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	