

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108151
Title of the Manuscript:	The Examination of Integration of Inclusive Education in Private Pre-Primary Schools. A Case of Lilongwe Urban City
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• If properly edited the manuscript could indeed contribute to knowledge generation.• Needs editing to read: <i>Inclusive Education in Private Pre-Primary Schools in Lilongwe Urban City; Malawi.</i>• To capture main conclusion and recommendation. Indicating the country name will add to clarity of focus• Should avoid too many subheadings but edit some accordingly• It would be scientifically correct, if the methodology and analyses are done scientifically too.• The references are adequate and recent but should list only those consulted and cited. Use consisted text instead of mixing lower and upper case. <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Avoid too many subheadings.• Malawi has an inclusive education policy! What does it say?• Express the theoretical framework more concisely (1 or 2 paragraphs) without having to indicate all these subheadings. Show how the framework relates to the topic of the study.• Revisit methodology, read about mixed methods research and identify the specific mixed methods research design you used• Re-visit presentation and analysis of quantitative data without fail.• Ask questions properly and align your analyse and discussion with available data presentation• Be explicit in your analysis and discussion	<p>This ins well noted</p> <p>The heading will be edited accordingly</p> <p>This will be edited accordingly</p> <p>The sub headings will be drastically reduced</p> <p>This is duly noted and will be addressed</p> <p>This is also noted</p> <p>The subsequent comments will be incorporated in the final manuscript</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Satisfactory although some language editing could improve the manuscript quality.	
Optional/General comments	See above	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Ethical consideration Confidentiality of the research subjects was considered a priority during the data collection process. No names were identified or assigned to any respondent, and only numbers were assigned to be used for data analysis. Consent was sought from each respondent before commencement of data collection and each respondent was informed of his or her right to participate in the data collection process. All the materials used for data collection will be handled with strict confidentiality