

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108151
Title of the Manuscript:	The Examination of Integration of Inclusive Education in Private Pre-Primary Schools. A Case of Lilongwe Urban City
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It would be beneficial to mention where was this research conducted.</p> <p>This is an interesting paper and can be published only after moderate corrections are made.</p> <p>The introduction is irrelevant. It mentioned disability at length and the topic is integration. Thus, it needs to be revised.</p> <p>An appropriate conclusion is required. This brings proper closure to the entire paper. The recommendations are normally placed after the conclusion.</p> <p>The abstract is good.</p> <p>This paper is scientifically sound.</p> <p>The references meet the standard.</p>	<p>We have taken note of the reviewers' comments and we will incorporate the suggestions in the revised manuscript</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Meets the standard.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	<b>Ethical consideration</b>  Confidentiality of the research subjects was considered a priority during the data collection process. No names were identified or assigned to any respondent, and only numbers were assigned to be used for data analysis. Consent was sought from each respondent before commencement of data collection and each respondent was informed of his or her right to participate in the data collection process. All the materials used for data collection will be handled with strict confidentiality