

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108089
Title of the Manuscript:	Merdeka Belajar Curriculum Science Textbook: Integration of Learning Implementation for Critical Thinking Skills
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. The manuscript focuses on the idea of integrating learning with critical thinking skills. This could steer many ideas for the future researchers in this field. 2. The title of the study is 'Merdeka Belajar Curriculum Science Textbook: Integration of Learning Implementation for Critical Thinking Skills' can be modified to 'Science Textbook based on Merdeka Belajar Curriculum: Integration of Learning Implementation for Critical Thinking Skills'. 3. Yes, the abstract of the study is comprehensive. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are apt. 5. Yes, the manuscripts add to the literature for the researchers working in the current field. 6. Yes, the references are ok, few earlier researches in the aforesaid field can be incorporated. 7. Incorporate objectives/ hypothesis of the study. 8. Elaborate the research design and state why the specific design was used for the study. 9. Elaborate the tool used for the study.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is suitable. Few grammatical suggestions given on the reviewed manuscript can be incorporated.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NA	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Meenakshi
Department, University & Country	India