

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108089
Title of the Manuscript:	Merdeka Belajar Curriculum Science Textbook: Integration of Learning Implementation for Critical Thinking Skills
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? Esi a significant contribution to the teaching and management profession influence of managers on the teaching profession of their establishments 2. Is the title of the article appropriate? The title is according to the article, a precise title to the development of the article 3. Is the abstract of the article complete? It is well developed, and gives us the idea of what we will read in the article 4. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? It is a very well developed manuscript, with subtitles that guide deeper ideas of the text 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Fully 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have any suggestions for additional references, please mention them in the review form. Comply with the minimum of what is suggested, well	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	GOOD ARTICLE	
Optional/General comments	Finally add that it is a manuscript that contributes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose Maanuel Salum Tome
Department, University & Country	Universidad Catolica De Temuco, Chile