# **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_107909
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENTS DIGESTIBILITY AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF RABBITS FED DIETS CO PARBOILED RICE MILLING BY-PRODUCTS
Type of the Article	Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Autho the ma manus
		his/he
<ul> <li><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</li> <li><b>1.</b> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>2.</b> Is the title of the article suitable?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Ya, this manuscript is very important for scientific community.</li> <li>Ya, the title of this article is very suitable with its contents.</li> <li>Ya, the abstract of the article is very comprehensive.</li> <li>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, but the</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>Is the thic of the article satisfies. (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>introduction section needs to be clarified by adding the latest research results related to the research. In my opinion, the introduction part is still weak, but the other parts are very good. You need to check the reference writing.</li> <li>5. That's right, I think this manuscript is scientifically correct because it has been</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol>	written based on good and correct scientific writing principles. 6. In my opinion, the references are sufficient and up to date. I suggest adding the following references, please open this link: https://ejournal.uin- suska.ac.id/index.php/peternakan/article/view/11523	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor         REVISION comments           1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	This article has been written in high-quality English making it suitable for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments		

### PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### CONTAINING PAR-BOILED AND NON-

**hor's comment** (if agreed with reviewer, correct manuscript and highlight that part in the nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write her feedback here)

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her

## **Review Form 1.7**

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sadarman
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim Riau, Indonesia

