# **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_99574
Title of the Manuscript:	Linear Tangle and Linear Obstacle: An Equivalence Result
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that NO manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <a href="https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy">https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy</a>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		,
<ol> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?         (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable?         (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>In this paper the author consider an equivalence between linear tangle and linear obstacle.</li> <li>Well chosen.</li> </ol>	
<ul><li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li><li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li><li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li></ul>	<ul><li>3. Yes.</li><li>4. Coherent.</li></ul>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul><li>5. In my point of view the proof of the result is correct.</li><li>6. References are sufficient but not well organised.</li></ul>	
Minor REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?  Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohamed Aqalmoun
Department, University & Country	Higher Normal School, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Morocco

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)