Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99889	
Title of the Manuscript:	Towards Doubling of Farmer's Income in India: A Critical Appraisal	
Type of the Article		

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comm manuscript and I mandatory that a
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
 Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 	Yes Yes No	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	 Subsections and Segments should be as per the guidelines of the journal. Abstract shows work to be done rather than the work done Literature Review should be exhaustive. Theoretical connect should be highlighted. Policy implications should be highlighted. Future scope of the study should be mentioned. Framing of research question and research objectives should be identified 8. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed w. that part in the manuscript. It is n feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Iment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the id highlight that part in the manuscript. It is at authors should write his/her feedback here)

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dharmendra Shanabhai
Department, University & Country	Prin M C Shah Commerce College, Gujarat University, India

