

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_97531
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of common bacterial pathogen and their Anti-microbial susceptibility pattern in various medical laboratories in Shendi Town, Sudan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The idea of research work in manuscript is important Slight modification is suggested (Marked in the manuscript) There are corrections suggested in the manuscript The subsections and structure are appropriate Manuscript is scientifically correct Addition of few more recent references will add the clarity in analysis of scientific data	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are too many corrections and repetitions in the manuscript. The language need an overall improvement in the manuscript to make it fit for publication. Grammer part also needs critical revision. Instead of repetition, tables No.-04 to 09 should be merged to give all data in one table in order to make results comprehensive.	
Optional/General comments	An overall revision is required before accepting the research paper in the journal	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajesh Kumar Joshi
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, India