

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96569
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Grooved Belt Type Fertilizer Metering Mechanism for Spot Fertilizer Applicator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yas Yes Yes Yes	Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed Agreed
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The authors present the manuscript (Ms_IJECC_96569) "Development of Grooved Belt Type Fertilizer Metering Mechanism for Spot Fertilizer Applicator" to be considered original article for publication in the International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. In general, the original article is well written and I believe this one gives a good overview of the mentioned topic. Although all these positive points, I have some concerns, which I believe should be considered before the Editor final decision. The authors failed in relation to some points of the article, such as in the table, figure, citation and reference.	Agreed and Incorporated
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO