

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_96841
Title of the Manuscript:	AGE-RELATED RESISTANCE EFFECT ON TOMATO SEEDLINGS FOR PRODUCING TOMATO YELLOW LEAF CURL VIRUS (TYLCV)-FREE PLANTS AND HIGH-QUALITY SEEDS
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>YES, this manuscript is important for scientific community and further work on viral disease in tomato in future and improvement for further release yellow leaf curl viral resistant variety.</b> <b>Good title</b> <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>One more suggestion regarding references added in the reference, please add some additional recent references with up to 2022.</b>  <b>No other comments.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<b>Good and well written English communication</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Well written this manuscript with good research for future use beneficial for a good researcher or scientist...</b>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manuj Awasthi
Department, University & Country	Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, India