

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100711
Title of the Manuscript:	“STUDY OF DIFFERENT RHIZOME SIZE AND TREATMENT ON TURMERIC (Curcuma longa L.)”
Type of the Article	Experimental Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is ok as this shows the treatment part on the rhizome of turmeric for increased yield in India. However this manuscript experimental part should be in broad way and not in short while introduction part should be in brief.</p> <p>The title should be changed with more emphasis to inform the methodology like “Experimental analysis of different treatment methodology on the rhizomes of turmeric for the maximum yield”</p> <p>Abstract is the 1st part of any manuscript and reflected whole manuscript in short form. Then It should be more informative with short of introduction, Material and methods, discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>Lacking of many sections, Introduction is too broad, various details part of turmeric is unnecessarily incorporated. Various spelling mistakes, Various grammar mistakes etc. Needs various revisions in literature part</p> <p>On experimental part, it looks that manuscript is scientifically ok.</p> <p>No, various paragraph needs references and it should be mentioned in numbering form or as per guidelines of journal.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but needs various revision and corrections	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhishek Kumar Chaturvedi
Department, University & Country	India