

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_101266
Title of the Manuscript:	Health Insurance Cost Prediction Using Deep Learning and Machine Learning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This paper gives an idea for the implementation of deep learning in insurance industries to predict the insurance cost.</p> <p>The title may be shortened as "Health Insurance Cost Prediction Using Deep Neural Network"</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not enough. Detailed Literature study should be given for strengthening the problem definition. Result section should be rewritten.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Not enough. References should be cited in the article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language correction is needed.	
Optional/General comments	Figure 1 should be redrawn.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Kannan
Department, University & Country	KAMARAJ College of Engineering and Technology, India