

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99970
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL FROM DIFFERENT BLOCKS OF THENI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Assessment of soil physico-chemical properties is essential for sustainable crop production. Hence, this manuscript is important for scientific community. However, this manuscript requires complete language editing, before its publication.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. But it needs English language editing.</p> <p>In Results and discussion, discussion part is completely missing. So, it requires elaborate discussions with citing of recent literatures.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. The manuscript requires more recent references citations.</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Amended revision</p> <p>Correction made</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. The language quality is very poor. So, it should be thoroughly scrutinized for language editing.</p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The Manuscript is recommended for publication after completion of the language editing and addition of elaborate discussions with recent references.</p>	<p>Done</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	