

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99970
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL FROM DIFFERENT BLOCKS OF THENI DISTRICT, TAMIL NADU
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Assessment of soil physico-chemical properties is essential for sustainable crop production. Hence, this manuscript is important for scientific community. However, this manuscript requires complete language editing, before its publication. Yes. Yes. But it needs English language editing. In Results and discussion, discussion part is completely missing. So, it requires elaborate discussions with citing of recent literatures. Yes. No. The manuscript requires more recent references citations.	Noted Amended revision Correction made
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. The language quality is very poor. So, it should be thoroughly scrutinized for language editing.	Noted
Optional/General comments	The Manuscript is recommended for publication after completion of the language editing and addition of elaborate discussions with recent references.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	