

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99843
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN DRONES FOR THE ANALYSIS OF AGRICULTURAL LAND USE IN THE MINING LEASE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1) Yes. But thoroughly rewriting and correction as suggested in the manuscript is necessary to improve the paper according to the guideline of journal. 2) Yes 3) No. Suggestion is given to improve and summarise specifically 4) Statically inputs and details of the AI, Its components, how it is performed should be provided and explained. 5) Average. So, correction should be made as suggested in the manuscript 6) No. Remove the predatory journal, latest literature, format of the reference should be as journal guidelines.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average. Correction details have been suggested	
Optional/General comments	Consider for publication with condition and after the thorough correction.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Senjam Jinus S.
Department, University & Country	School of Horticulture, Pandit Deen Dayal Upadhyay Institute of Agricultural Sciences, India