

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_100313
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Trichomonas vaginalis infection among women of reproductive age in Anambra State, South Eastern, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? Yes If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study "Prevalence of Trichomonas vaginalis infection among women of reproductive age in Anambra State, South Eastern, Nigeria". However, I have some comments and recommendations: Comments: Title:- Is appropriate for the content of the article. Abstract:- Represents a suitable summary of the work. Article content:- The methods and results have been well explained. Conclusions:- Are justified on the basis of the study. Recommendations: Discussion:- Mention the limitations of the study. References:- Stick to the format of the journal. General:- Revise language, grammar and syntax.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akmal El-Mazny
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt