

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_100070
Title of the Manuscript:	Atypical presentations of progressive disseminated histoplasmosis in an immunocompetent adult: Ectopic calcification (Aneurysm of Sinus of Valsalva) and large vessel (left subclavian artery) stenosis in the background of adrenal insufficiency.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ This is an interesting article in which a rare case of progressive disseminated histoplasmosis in an immunocompetent individual with atypical manifestations of long-term fever, ectopic calcification, and large vessel stenosis in the context of adrenal insufficiency is described.❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article.❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary about the results, and the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand.❖ Please rearrange keywords alphabetically.❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization.❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding.❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color.❖ Many of the words outlined in yellow should be deleted.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ English needs to be improved	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ Non	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq