

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_99565
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect Ayurveda-based Comprehensive Diabetic Care Program on Glycemic Control in Type 2 Diabetic Mellitus Patients: An Observational Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This study simply highlights a practice that was used in the past to control DM Which might still be relevant today. However with the development of more effective hypoglycemic medications that don't require invasive procedures as enema, it might not be too relevant in today's society</p> <p>Title is adequate</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive and well written</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>It is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are sufficient only that the lastest article cited is in 2018. There should be more recent studies to cite</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the English is suitable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kadir Dotun M
Department, University & Country	University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Nigeria