

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_100809
Title of the Manuscript:	Unlike poles attract each other; likewise, over denture though! An innovative technique
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. It is a scientifically relevant topic of choice. This article will give an insight to many general dental practitioners regarding this treatment option and how to go about. This may not be a routinely done procedure, hence this manuscript provide an awareness regarding the same. 2. I would suggest a better title. "UNLIKE POLES ATTRACT EACH OTHER – OVERDENTURE WITH A TWIST " 3. Yes 4. Yes. I have come across grammatical errors in the manuscript. Kindly rectify the same in the entire manuscript. Add pictures of the fabricated <i>copings before cementation</i> . 5. Yes. It explains a method to overcome one of the major draw of overdentures i.e cost factor, and provides a cost effective means of doing the same. 6. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Overall a well written manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nikhila Chandramohan
Department, University & Country	Salsabeel Dental Center, Qatar