

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103567
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of nutrient management on growth, yield, and quality of strawberry in open field condition, and study of packaging materials and shelf life of strawberry after harvesting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Manuscripts can provide insight into science and can be applied to agriculture, especially horticulture the title of the article is appropriate abstract needs to be added research results the sub-section structure of the article is appropriate the article is scientifically correct references used need to be added to the latest and up to date references	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good language quality	
Optional/General comments	the discussion section needs data analysis There needs to be an understanding based on the data obtained why did this happen? Add literature up to date	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cicilia Novi Primiani
Department, University & Country	Pgri Madiun University, Indonesia