

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_103898
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of Weed Flora Identification and its Management on Bt cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) growing tract of Tungabhadra Command Area
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Identification of weed flora and deriving of proper weed control measures are foremost important in growing a long duration crop like cotton. This manuscript is has been formulated in accordance with the above said measure. This will be useful in formulating any treatment in weed management practices. Its good only but it can be verified with "Significance of weed flora on weed management in Bt cotton in Tungabhadra command are" Its optional only you can consider. It is comprehensive and clearly written. In Aim : To identify Weed control practices on different weed species or group . All are included properly, but the data on weed density should be included this only give the proper picture on weed dry weight. If we include two year is well and good but it is ok. It is scientifically correct References are sufficient Kindly check the family of <i>Celosia argentea</i> . In the way of drafting, any line can't start with table no. and also the treatment no can be mentioned at last in bracket	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality is good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Nalini
Department, University & Country	Tamilnadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India