Review Form 1.7

| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Mathematics |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJOM_95530 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Generalized Olivier Numbers |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | This research is on the very special sequence of numbers. Yes, I think so. | |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, I think so. | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | Yes, I think so. | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes, I think so. | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | Van Idkink on | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Yes, I think so. | |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | | |
| Minor REVISION comments1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, I think so. | |
| Optional/General comments | There is no conclusion, The author must clarify why this research is important for the mathematical advances. | |

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Takaaki Musha | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | Japan | |

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)