

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_95217
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Behaviors in the Educators School in terms of Kohlberg's Moral Environment Development Theory
Type of the Article	Qualitative Research – Phenomenological Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes The title can be slightly modified as "Evaluating the Moral Reasoning behind Professional Behaviours among the School Educators in terms of Kohlberg's Theory of Moral Development" Yes The introduction section of the manuscript can be better organized with sub-headings. Need and significance of the study and the rationale behind the study can be provided to strengthen the introduction. APA format has to be followed. Yes Sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Satisfactory <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Punctuations are to be worked upon properly. Incorrect punctuations are seen across the manuscript. Consistency in usage of tense is required. Spelling mistakes are to be checked and corrected (example: Principal is mentioned as Principle) Clear and precise descriptions are required in the interpretation. 	
Optional/General comments	I recommend the editorial team and the publisher to publish this article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Sivakumar
Department, University & Country	Bharathiar University, India