Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_95099
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of Hybrid Solar-Wind Energy Storage System for Storage capacity
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear Sir,	
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	I am grateful to you for giving opportunity of reviewing Manuscript for Journal of Engineering Research and Reports journal, I accept your request of Design and Development of Hybrid Solar-Wind Energy Storage System for Storage capacity (Manuscript Number:	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Ms_JERR_95099)	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	 Individual simulation results of PV and wind was presented but for this title, Hybrid Solar- Wind Energy Storage System MATLAB simulation is to be added 	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	2. There is no design part (Engineering Concept) is presented in this paper, for this title it's	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	need to be added	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	 In connection with the Storage capacity, Battery storage, rating charging and discharging details are to be provided. 	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide	4. Future scope part in the conclusion area is to be removed (Unwanted for this paper title)	
additional suggestions/comments)	 Generally this paper was prepared from project report, it has to be resubmitted with above mentioned review comments 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Srinivasan
Department, University & Country	Sathyabama University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)