

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_94801
Title of the Manuscript:	Deep brain stimulation - Emerging indications and newer techniques -the current status
Type of the Article	Review article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. References should be given properly</li> <li>2. Author check the flow of the work.</li> <li>3. Tables should be added to give a clear view of the previous work.</li> <li>4. Author should draw flow diagrams for a clear depiction.</li> </ol>	Noted OK OK effected Noted
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Author should give a detailed introduction about the DBS.</li> <li>2. Author can compare the advantages of DBS with the previous methods.</li> <li>3. Author stating about the disease can add few points about the disease and the statistical data of the sufferers of disease.</li> </ol>	Noted and effected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author should make changes in the manuscript to look as a review article, author can go through the journal articles to get an idea of review article.	Noted

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	