

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_94729
Title of the Manuscript:	DISTRIBUTION OF COWRIES IN THE DIFFERENT ECOLOGICAL HABITATS IN THE SELECTED SITES IN DAVAO OCCIDENTAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The survey was well organized and the personal data-base was complex used in cowry's species identification, comments on each species distribution and most important – the author counted different ecological indexes: frequency, density, dominant species etc. Also very important are Conclusions, the statute of each species conservation and suggested measures to preserve the endangered species of Cypraeidae in the studies areas.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	I personally was expecting to find in the article's text all 36 titles of consulted literature. I would suggest to check if except CHAND <i>et al.</i> (2010) are and other cited titles from REFERENCES list. Vice-versa, in manuscript are cited: HEMMINGA <i>et al.</i> , 2000; Red List of IUCN, 2018; KATO, 1989; KEY <i>et al.</i> , 2010; VILLAMOR, 2015 and all of them are missing from REFERENCES list. However, the author(s) worked hardly, collecting individuals of cowries, identifying species and included practical aspects of biodiversity conservation. CONGRATULATIONS!	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dumitru Murariu
Department, University & Country	Institute of Biology of the Romanian Academy, Romania