

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_95309
Title of the Manuscript:	Subsoil Competence Evaluation for Foundation Design in Rumuokwuta, Port Harcourt, Eastern Niger Delta
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, but with a slight amendment. It reads, "Evaluation for Subsoil Competence in Foundation Design in Rumuokwuta, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Eastern Niger Delta"</p> <p>Yes, but instead of using subtitle style, it is better to present them as a unit. That is, one block paragraph.</p> <p>Yes, but with slight amendment (see in-text).</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>No. Not too recent. The minimum acceptable standard is 4 years back. This means that 2018 should be the least year referenced. However, a strong case can be made for five-year or six-year referenced paper.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Henry C. Umunakwe
Department, University & Country	Abia State University, Nigeria