

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_105547
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute and Sub-chronic toxicity evaluation of the ethanolic extract of Coula edulis B., (Olacaceae) stem bark
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. the scientific approach used for this study is original and improves knowledge of the plants used in traditional medicine</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes, the title sound with the content of the article</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive, but the first paragraph (guideline) should not appear in the final version</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes,</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes,</b></p> <p>6. <b>Yes, but style of citation must be harmonized</b></p> <p><b>I recommend to the authors to review the grammar (we note some grammatical errors here and there in the text), likewise we noted that several styles of citations of the references are used, it is desirable to standardize</b></p>	<p><i><b>I modified the abstract</b></i></p> <p><i><b>I have harmonized the citations in the discussion</b></i></p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor revisions of orthograph is required</p>	<p><i><b>I corrected some spelling mistake</b></i></p>
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<b><i>In Cameroon there is no real ethics committee on handling animals</i></b>