

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_110858
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological and chemical management to managing Brown spot disease in rice caused by Bipolaris oryzae
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, brown spot of rice challenging disease and the whole word is facing its consequences in terms of food shortage as rice staple food.</p> <p>There is a mistake in title that should be rectified. Abstract is short it must define both the in-vivo and invitro experiments and their results should be linked.</p> <p>The manuscript needs more properly defined and distinguished headings in methodology as well as results. Secondly discussion is missing. Its correct but it lacks major required information</p> <p>Enough, more needed with the discussion section.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it's good	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Separately define the methodology for invitro and in- vivo trials.2. Properly write the formula and calculations for radial mycelial growth inhibition in response to each treatment.3. Microscopic pictures are in sufficient for pathogen identification. Also add pictures for mycelial growth inhibition4. During in field and nursery trial what was the source of inoculum?5. How was the host crop variety selected?6. What was the positive control?7. What was the disease rating scale used?8. Statistical analysis and tests aren't defined properly to measure the significance.9. Graphs are incomplete they don't have error bars and lettering10. Paper doesn't contain the discussion or the reasoning sections to elaborate the authenticity of results.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Beenish Gul
Department, University & Country	Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Pakistan