

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_110590
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding the Association between Selected Agama agama Characteristics and Intestinal Parasitic Infection
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes and if possible I feel its better to have the location in the title where this research is done as this research is location based (Eg., Understanding the Association between Selected Agama agama Characteristics and Intestinal Parasitic Infection in the area Otuoke, Nigeria)</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	<p>2. Agreed. Correction effected</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language is understandable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1) I highlighted some points in the article section discussion and I recommend to recheck them. First thing is regarding size of agama, it was saying adult are more prevalent in discussion where as in result it showed small are more prevalent. Second thing is regarding rainfall and mentioned that during May, June. But it is mentioned that this study was done during the period of January-march and there is also mention of one species which is not found in the study (<i>Raillietiella</i> sp.). There is some miscommunication/ unclear sentences in discussion. so I recommend to recheck it and frame the sentence accordingly.</p> <p>2) I recommend to include Conclusion as a separate section after discussion.</p>	<p>1) Agreed and correction has been effected in revised manuscript.</p> <p>2) Agreed. Conclusion has been included as a separate section after discussion in revised manuscript.</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	