

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111137
Title of the Manuscript:	Supprssion of Fusarium wilt in tomato by biofortified vermicompost.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.Yes, it provides information on the effect of biofortified vermicompost on plant growth and disease suppression.</p> <p>2.Yes, it captures the study area</p> <p>3.abstract should be restructured/rewritten to include conclusion</p> <p>4.They are appropriate</p> <p>5. the manuscript sounds scientifically correct.</p> <p>7. provide reference in the introduction section, some references are mostly based on studies older than 10 years. I suggest the author should improve with more recent references, and there is need for uniformity in reference list section).</p> <p>-abbreviations should be explained at first appearance in the main text - English language used in this manuscript should be improved - in the final part of the introduction section, the authors should provide the reason of performing this study. - the tables should have a footer containing all the explanations for the abbreviations used within it for more clarity. - the conclusion section is too lengthy and should be completed with possible applications of the discoveries and future research directions.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language used in this manuscript should be improved, too many grammatical errors.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mobisson Samuel Kelechi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Madonna University, Nigeria</b>