

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111137
Title of the Manuscript:	Supprssion of Fusarium wilt in tomato by biofortified vermicompost.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1.Yes, it provides information on the effect of biofortified vermicompost on plant growth and disease suppression. 2.Yes, it captures the study area 3.abstract should be restructured/rewritten to include conclusion 4.They are appropriate 5. the manuscript sounds scientifically correct. 7. provide reference in the introduction section, some references are mostly based on studies older than 10 years. I suggest the author should improve with more recent references, and there is need for uniformity in reference list section). -abbreviations should be explained at first appearance in the main text - English language used in this manuscript should be improved - in the final part of the introduction section, the authors should provide the reason of performing this study. - the tables should have a footer containing all the explanations for the abbreviations used within it for more clarity. - the conclusion section is too lengthy and should be completed with possible applications of the discoveries and future research directions.	Revision made
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language used in this manuscript should be improved, too many grammatical errors.	Corrected
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	