

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_111137
Title of the Manuscript:	Supprssion of Fusarium wilt in tomato by biofortified vermicompost.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. The title of the manuscript is incomplete, and an appropriate, precise, clear title is adequate. 2. The abstract does not clearly conclude the research results. 3. Introduction part in not well written, the author has not stated what and how biofortified trichoderma is used. 4. It is necessary to discuss further the role of Bacillus, Pseudomonas and Trichoderma and their interaction with vermicompost. 5. References must be checked again, it is recommended to use a reference manager. 6. Include the best pretreatment technique and future applications of this study. 7. The conclusion is too long and does not focus on the main aim of the research. 8.	  I am agree with the reviewer's comment. I have made the necessary changes in the manuscript.  I am happy to incorporate the changes in manuscript advised by the reviewer.  Now the manuscript has some merits in its writing and language.  Thank you.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Improve the quality of introduction text by rephrasing or use language editor	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	