

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_110980</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Farmer Participatory studies on machine transplanting of rice</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>The manuscript is beneficial for both scientific and research scholar and the content mentioned under manuscript are most important for scientific and researcher purpose.</p> <p>The title of this manuscript is suitable but in point of my view it should be mentioned as “<b>STUDIES OF FARMER PARTICIPATORY ON MACHINE TRANSPLANTING OF RICE</b>”</p> <p>Yes, The article or manuscript is more comprehensive.</p> <p>All content or title or subsections are more appropriate or suitable in manner.</p> <p>All the content under this manuscript are more appreciated and scientifically correct.</p> <p>I suggest to the author, add more recent references for valuable achievement of this manuscript however the references</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language of this research article is better for scientific staff for better communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research article or manuscript is suitable for scientific and farmer community regarding awareness cum programme of front line demonstration and better communication between farmers and scientific staff.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Hukam Singh Kothyari
Department, University & Country	ICAR-CAZRI, India