

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110784
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial Assessment and Variation of Soil Physicochemical Properties and Water Quality in the Tungi Watershed of Maharashtra, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Evaluating the Spatial Distribution and Diversity of Soil Physicochemical Properties and Water Quality in Maharashtra's Tungi Watershed, India. 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Answer: The abstract's writing method needs a complete overhaul. The author should restructure it in accordance with the journal's template, presenting all steps in a consecutive manner instead of separately 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Answer: Yes, 3q except of abstract 6. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 7. Answer: yes 8. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. The conclusion is very concise and only summarizes some of the most important contributions of the research. 2. Add the most recent references relevant to the study . 3. <i>The literature review is promising, but disregards recent publications in the field of spatial assessment of Soil Physicochemical Properties.</i> 4. <i>The discussion and conclusions are difficult to follow and need to be rewritten to highlight the key contributions of this manuscript.</i> 5. Prepare the manuscript according to the format provided in the instruction for authors. 6. Figures need to be of better quality	 Thank you Added up to date references Written in most simplistic manner Written as per journal guideline Already in better quality
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Answer: <i>the language and sentence structures of this manuscript are at times incomprehensible. The paper needs rewriting and thorough language editing to allow for a proper peer review.</i>		
Optional/General comments		I insist to present title, not in favour to change it.

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	