Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110024
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and production of Groundnuts as affected by application of Organic, Inorganic and Foliar grade fertilizers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes , Groundnuts, with their nutrient-rich seeds and oil production, serve as a vital source of protein and essential nutrients. The judicious application of organic, inorganic, and foliar grade fertilizers can enhance groundnut yields, ensuring sustained agricultural productivity and nutritional benefits.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but it may also be "Influence of Organic, Inorganic, and Foliar Grade Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Groundnut."	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, I have added comments to improve	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	It should be improved, write the result with proper subtitles: 3.1 Effect on growth parameters; 3.2 Effect on yield attributes and yield; 3.3 Effect on uptake and quality; 3.4 Effect on economics	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct after modification of the added comments and yellow highlights	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the references are sufficient but the references were added in bibliography but no citation was given in the manuscript mainly in discussion part.	
	Arrange the references according to the journal and give citation of the reference in manuscript according to the journal	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, language/ English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	As per my review, the given comments are enough to improve the quality of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kavita Solanki
Department, University & Country	Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)