

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_110024
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and production of Groundnuts as affected by application of Organic, Inorganic and Foliar grade fertilizers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p><b>Yes</b>, Groundnuts, with their nutrient-rich seeds and oil production, serve as a vital source of protein and essential nutrients. The judicious application of organic, inorganic, and foliar grade fertilizers can enhance groundnut yields, ensuring sustained agricultural productivity and nutritional benefits.</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, but it may also be "Influence of Organic, Inorganic, and Foliar Grade Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Groundnut."</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, I have added comments to improve</p> <p>It should be improved, write the result with proper subtitles: 3.1 Effect on growth parameters; 3.2 Effect on yield attributes and yield; 3.3 Effect on uptake and quality; 3.4 Effect on economics</p> <p><b>Yes</b>, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct after modification of the added comments and yellow highlights</p> <p>Yes, the references are sufficient but the references were added in bibliography but no citation was given in the manuscript mainly in discussion part.</p> <p>Arrange the references according to the journal and give citation of the reference in manuscript according to the journal</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Noted and revised</p> <p>Noted</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p><b>Yes, language/ English quality of the article is very good and suitable for scholarly communications.</b></p>	<p>ok</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>As per my review, the given comments are enough to improve the quality of the manuscript.</p>	<p>ok</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	