

Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_110024   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Growth and production of Groundnuts as affected by application of Organic, Inorganic and Foliar grade fertilizers |
| Type of the Article      |   |

PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes, it's important topic for agricultural development.</p> <p>2. No, Article title can be rewritten.</p> <p>3. The abstract could be slightly improved.</p> <p>4. Yes, it is appropriate.</p> <p>5. No, it was written against the rules of article creation. There are missing sections.</p> <p>6. References were very old. It might be rewritten.</p>  | <p>Revision made</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Correction made</p>  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  |  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>The article contains major omissions, spelling errors, and carelessness. Each main section needs to be carefully rewritten. There is no discussion in the conclusion part of the discussion. Not enough sources are given in the article, and even where the sources are cited are not shown. Even though the work was good, the errors in the article caused me to describe it as bad. Due to completely careless writing and missing sections, i regret to say that this article cannot be published without major corrections.</p> | <p>Noted and effected</p>   |

PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |