Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJASS_110434**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Functioning of Sanya-Kware sub-catchment water users association in water resources management. A Case of Sanya-Kware Sub-catchment, Tanzania**
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	The manuscript is scientifically good because it points out water resource management and poor governance structure put out by government in Africa which are not helping in resolving conflict between users. The title should include challenges also Highlight the a few challenges in the abstract. There is a need to review the subsections as indicated in the manuscript to show a systematic flow. Yes , it is bring out challenges on governance of water resources in the era of climate change conflicts. The references are few and some are not recent. The authors should review and add more recent work on WUA. The discussion by the authors highlighted the conflict but there is no comparison with other reported work, no citations of authorities so it sound like the author is telling a story on WUA conflict. Here, the author should give the amount of water abstracted or blocked by upstream users, the fees they have refused to pay and how the fee can solve the problem of funds to the association etc. It sound like the government authorities are weak when it comes to the enforcement of policies of the government, where is the national government? Why are courts not effective in reinforcing their authorities? The discussion is a bit limited, expands more.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The English quality is average; the author needs to look at a few grammatical mistakes as pointed out in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is bringing out a fundamental issue of water governance which are showing weak linkages between water users and organisations charged with authority to enforce them in a developing country. This is a good topic to make clear for future readers considering the fact that climate change impacts have started manifesting among the local communities in Africa. In future the conflict might erupt into major wars if not addressed using sustainable laws and administrative governance.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Richard Oruko**
Department, University & Country	**Kenya**