

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_111000
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF LEADING AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES AND THEIR ROLE IN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN NORTH TAPANULI REGENCY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Comments: Thank you for submitting this paper. I like the idea of "ANALYSIS OF LEADING AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES AND THEIR ROLE IN REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN NORTH TAPANULI REGENCY". This has some of merits. However, the paper does not currently meet all standards of the journal and it requires more work and it appears that the paper is more a descriptive analysis. I am outlining my suggestions below in the hope they will be helpful.</p> <p>kind regards</p> <p>ABSTRACT The purpose is unclear and needs rewriting to make it more paper-specific and the researcher did not mention which methodology has been used.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION The derivation of the research gap unclear and seems to base on slightly outdated literature. The discussions here need to be more convincing and stringently focused on the research problem, the contributions, rewriting the introduction as per academic writing. However, I would like to see strong theoretical justification and support from literature about how your study is important at this time.</p> <p>LITERATURE REVIEW This section also needs more writing of the literature and use to resent literature from good journals. You would need to review the literature more in-depth to find literature relevant for your work and to then outline what the gap that you will address is.</p> <p>METHODOLOGY The methodology section should be clearer of the applied research approach. Please respecify how the studies utilized in the analysis were identified. In the general the methodology section is kind of weak something needs many improvements.</p> <p>FINDINGS The findings need to justify your results and link the results with theories and recent studies from good journals.</p> <p>CONCLUSION The paper also needs a more suggestions for further research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yousif Mousa Sabti
Department, University & Country	Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia