

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_104518
Title of the Manuscript:	NEMATICIDAL ACTIVITY OF NEWBOULDIA LAEVIS LEAF EXTRACT AGAINST ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE (MELOIDOGYNE JAVANICA) ON EGGPLANT IN JALINGO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Bio-control of ROOT-KNOT NEMATODE by NEWBOULDIA LAEVIS LEAF EXTRACT Yes I think there should be proper corrections in abstract; in abstract author have to write little introduction about work and result; author should remove the first para of result in abstract as well as the last conclusion. In result author write about phytochemical investigation but there is no any matter related to phytochemical investigation in methodology as well as there is no any observation table related to analysis, so author will either remove the phytochemical investigation otherwise add the proves of that one. In title as well as wherever there is name of plant in manuscript it should be italic as and add the authority of plant Please provide the photographs of each step In material & methodology first add what are the requirements for this project and then add methodology All the observation tables should be above the result & discussion. Author have to include all the references that he has given in introduction	Yes No, I prefer my topic Yes I have done the corrections I feel are appropriate in abstract Corrected. Corrected Not relevant Corrected Corrected
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	ok	Yes
Optional/General comments	Author have to make all the above changes including abstract, introduction , material method	Corrected

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	NO