Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_109599
Title of the Manuscript:	Space without Time and Time without Space in Educational Paradigm Shifts?
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	I want to like this article, but there is a lack of a clear thesis. The introduction does not set up the paper, major sections, and the aim and purpose. There is an extensive explication of space-time, but then there is an unclear transition to technology in education.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The new definition and two-prong approach are not clear. The title and paragraph on space without time and time without space is catchy but not developed.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	One can be multidisciplinary, but many of the mathematical concepts are not developed.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The different graphs are oversimplified and present time and space as unidimensional. The purposes are either unclear or overly simplified.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	What was the central research question? There is no methodology, as the article is a review	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	or theoretical article. The purposes and aims are unclear.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The topic is interesting, but there needs to be a clear focus. The words in bold are not appropriate for a scholarly journal.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David C. Coker
Department, University & Country	Fort Hays State University, USA

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)