Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JESBS_109599**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Space without Time and Time without Space in Educational Paradigm Shifts?**
Type of the Article	**Review**

Review Form 1.7

<mark>PART 1:</mark> **Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	No	
Optional/General comments	**Weaknesses** 1. Clarity and Redundancy: The paper occasionally lacks clarity in expression and tends to be redundant. For instance, the repetition of the phrase "the space-time concept" could be streamlined for smoother readability. 2. Grammar and Syntax: There are instances of awkward phrasing and grammatical errors, which affect the overall flow and readability. Editing for grammar and syntax is essential for enhancing the quality of the paper. 3. Organization: The organization of the paper could be improved. The transition between sections, especially between the introduction and the subsequent sections, is somewhat abrupt. A more logical flow would enhance coherence. 4. Overemphasis on Technical Jargon: The paper at times overemphasizes technical jargon, making it potentially challenging for a broader audience to comprehend. A more balanced use of technical terms and plain language would enhance accessibility. 5. Clarity and Redundancy: The paper, while comprehensive, is quite dense, and there is a risk of reader confusion due to the intricate interplay of concepts. Additionally, certain ideas and phrases are repeated throughout the paper, which may contribute to redundancy. 6. Lack of Concrete Examples: While the paper introduces the idea of integrating space and time in education, it lacks concrete examples or case studies illustrating how this integration might look in practice. Providing real-world examples could enhance the paper's applicability. 7. Complexity of Language: The use of complex language and philosophical concepts might	

make it challenging for readers who are not well-versed in these areas to follow the arguments. Simplifying the language without losing the depth of the content could improve accessibility.

8. Need for Literature Review: The paper lacks a comprehensive literature review to contextualize the proposed ideas within existing research. This omission makes it difficult to evaluate the novelty of the presented concepts.

9. Consistency in Terminology: Ensure consistent use of terminology throughout the paper. For instance, the paper refers to "space-time" and "time-space" interchangeably, which could be confusing.

10. Sentence Structure: Some sentences are lengthy and complex, which may hinder clarity. Consider breaking down complex ideas into shorter, more digestible sentences.

11. Transition Sentences: Improve the flow between sections by incorporating transition sentences. This will guide readers through the logical progression of ideas.

Recommendations for Improvement
1. Start the abstract with a sentence each for the introduction and problem statement.

2. The aim of the study is your research topic. Where you indicated "aims" change it to "objectives"

3. The methodology and study design are vague. Choose a suitable study design for the study. The study design should be under the methodology section of the paper.

4. The presentation of the result is not adequate.

5. The keywords are supposed to be "Words" not "Phrase"

6. Avoid the use of colloquium in formal academic writing, write everything in full. (i.e means that is, e.g means example)

7. Maintain a uniform reference style although the paper

8. Poor connection/ linkages between the paragraphs

9. All acronyms should be defined for the first then, you are free to use the acronym subsequently. Such as "OECD"

10. Do not bold in-text of some words such as "AI" among others.

11. Some references are obsolete,

12. All subsections and structure of the manuscript are not uniform, some are underline while others are not.

13. Editing for Clarity: The paper should undergo thorough editing for clarity, reducing redundancy and improving sentence structure. This will enhance the overall readability and coherence of the paper.

14. Grammar and Syntax Check: A careful review of grammar and syntax is necessary to eliminate awkward phrasing and grammatical errors. This will contribute to the professionalism and credibility of the paper.

15. Improved Organization: Consider restructuring the paper for a smoother transition between sections. This could involve providing clearer linkages between the introduction, theoretical framework, and the discussion of educational paradigms.

16. Balanced Use of Technical Terms: While technical jargon is necessary in academic writing, it's crucial to balance it with plain language explanations, ensuring that the content remains accessible to a broader audience.

17. Concrete Examples: Integrate concrete examples or case studies to illustrate how the

| | proposed integrated space-time approach could be implemented in educational settings.

18. Literature Review: Include a thorough literature review to demonstrate the current state of research on space, time, and their integration in education. This will help position the paper within the existing scholarly discourse.

19. Simplify Language: Simplify complex language and concepts without sacrificing depth. Ensure that the paper remains accessible to a broad audience, including those without extensive knowledge of philosophy or theoretical frameworks.

20. Refine Redundancy: Review the text to identify and eliminate redundant phrases or ideas. Ensure that each point contributes uniquely to the overall argument.

21. Improve Clarity: Break down complex sentences, and use transition sentences to enhance the overall clarity and readability of the paper. This will assist readers in following the logical flow of ideas.

22. Conclusion and Future Directions: The paper could benefit from a more robust conclusion that summarizes key findings and proposes avenues for future research in the space-time concept within education.

Addressing these recommendations will strengthen the paper, making it more engaging, accessible, and impactful for a wider audience in the field of education. | |

<mark>**PART 2:**</mark>

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Aliyu Mustapha**
Department, University & Country	**Federal University of Technology, Nigeria**