

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_109599
Title of the Manuscript:	Space without Time and Time without Space in Educational Paradigm Shifts?
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>I want to like this article, but there is a lack of a clear thesis. The introduction does not set up the paper, major sections, and the aim and purpose. There is an extensive explication of space-time, but then there is an unclear transition to technology in education.</p> <p>The new definition and two-prong approach are not clear. The title and paragraph on space without time and time without space is catchy but not developed.</p> <p>One can be multidisciplinary, but many of the mathematical concepts are not developed.</p> <p>The different graphs are oversimplified and present time and space as unidimensional. The purposes are either unclear or overly simplified.</p> <p>What was the central research question? There is no methodology, as the article is a review or theoretical article. The purposes and aims are unclear.</p> <p>The topic is interesting, but there needs to be a clear focus. The words in bold are not appropriate for a scholarly journal.</p>	<p>Theoretical papers are not easy to grasp. We tried to clarify and change many things in the text.</p> <p>We changed to make it clear.</p> <p>The paper has nothing to do with mathematics</p> <p>This is a theoretical paper and does not follow the traditional approaches of research papers (provide: exact methodology, collection of data, analysis and conclusions).</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	