

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_109599
Title of the Manuscript:	Space without Time and Time without Space in Educational Paradigm Shifts?
Type of the Article	Review

## Review Form 1.7

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>No</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Weaknesses</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Clarity and Redundancy: The paper occasionally lacks clarity in expression and tends to be redundant. For instance, the repetition of the phrase "the space-time concept" could be streamlined for smoother readability.</li> <li>Grammar and Syntax: There are instances of awkward phrasing and grammatical errors, which affect the overall flow and readability. Editing for grammar and syntax is essential for enhancing the quality of the paper.</li> <li>Organization: The organization of the paper could be improved. The transition between sections, especially between the introduction and the subsequent sections, is somewhat abrupt. A more logical flow would enhance coherence.</li> <li>Overemphasis on Technical Jargon: The paper at times overemphasizes technical jargon, making it potentially challenging for a broader audience to comprehend. A more balanced use of technical terms and plain language would enhance accessibility.</li> <li>Clarity and Redundancy: The paper, while comprehensive, is quite dense, and there is a risk of reader confusion due to the intricate interplay of concepts. Additionally, certain ideas and phrases are repeated throughout the paper, which may contribute to redundancy.</li> <li>Lack of Concrete Examples: While the paper introduces the idea of integrating space and time in education, it lacks concrete examples or case studies illustrating how this integration might look in practice. Providing real-world examples could enhance the paper's applicability.</li> <li>Complexity of Language: The use of complex language and philosophical concepts might</li> </ol>	<p>We tried to cover all of the evaluator's points by following his recommendations. We hope we have satisfied them.</p> <p>However, he (she) should realize that this is a theoretical paper that cannot follow exactly the rules of a typical research paper (provide: exact methodology, collection of data, analysis and conclusions).</p>

## Review Form 1.7

	<p>make it challenging for readers who are not well-versed in these areas to follow the arguments. Simplifying the language without losing the depth of the content could improve accessibility.</p> <p>8. Need for Literature Review: The paper lacks a comprehensive literature review to contextualize the proposed ideas within existing research. This omission makes it difficult to evaluate the novelty of the presented concepts.</p> <p>9. Consistency in Terminology: Ensure consistent use of terminology throughout the paper. For instance, the paper refers to "space-time" and "time-space" interchangeably, which could be confusing.</p> <p>10. Sentence Structure: Some sentences are lengthy and complex, which may hinder clarity. Consider breaking down complex ideas into shorter, more digestible sentences.</p> <p>11. Transition Sentences: Improve the flow between sections by incorporating transition sentences. This will guide readers through the logical progression of ideas.</p> <p><b>Recommendations for Improvement</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Start the abstract with a sentence each for the introduction and problem statement.</li><li>2. The aim of the study is your research topic. Where you indicated "aims" change it to "objectives"</li><li>3. The methodology and study design are vague. Choose a suitable study design for the study. The study design should be under the methodology section of the paper.</li><li>4. The presentation of the result is not adequate.</li><li>5. The keywords are supposed to be "Words" not "Phrase"</li><li>6. Avoid the use of colloquium in formal academic writing, write everything in full. (i.e means that is, e.g means example)</li><li>7. Maintain a uniform reference style although the paper</li><li>8. Poor connection/ linkages between the paragraphs</li><li>9. All acronyms should be defined for the first then, you are free to use the acronym subsequently. Such as "OECD"</li><li>10. Do not bold in-text of some words such as "AI" among others.</li><li>11. Some references are obsolete,</li><li>12. All subsections and structure of the manuscript are not uniform, some are underline while others are not.</li><li>13. Editing for Clarity: The paper should undergo thorough editing for clarity, reducing redundancy and improving sentence structure. This will enhance the overall readability and coherence of the paper.</li><li>14. Grammar and Syntax Check: A careful review of grammar and syntax is necessary to eliminate awkward phrasing and grammatical errors. This will contribute to the professionalism and credibility of the paper.</li><li>15. Improved Organization: Consider restructuring the paper for a smoother transition between sections. This could involve providing clearer linkages between the introduction, theoretical framework, and the discussion of educational paradigms.</li><li>16. Balanced Use of Technical Terms: While technical jargon is necessary in academic writing, it's crucial to balance it with plain language explanations, ensuring that the content remains accessible to a broader audience.</li><li>17. Concrete Examples: Integrate concrete examples or case studies to illustrate how the</li></ol>	
--	---	--

Review Form 1.7

	<p>proposed integrated space-time approach could be implemented in educational settings.</p> <p>18. Literature Review: Include a thorough literature review to demonstrate the current state of research on space, time, and their integration in education. This will help position the paper within the existing scholarly discourse.</p> <p>19. Simplify Language: Simplify complex language and concepts without sacrificing depth. Ensure that the paper remains accessible to a broad audience, including those without extensive knowledge of philosophy or theoretical frameworks.</p> <p>20. Refine Redundancy: Review the text to identify and eliminate redundant phrases or ideas. Ensure that each point contributes uniquely to the overall argument.</p> <p>21. Improve Clarity: Break down complex sentences, and use transition sentences to enhance the overall clarity and readability of the paper. This will assist readers in following the logical flow of ideas.</p> <p>22. Conclusion and Future Directions: The paper could benefit from a more robust conclusion that summarizes key findings and proposes avenues for future research in the space-time concept within education.</p> <p>Addressing these recommendations will strengthen the paper, making it more engaging, accessible, and impactful for a wider audience in the field of education.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	