

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_110737
Title of the Manuscript:	RESEARCH TRENDS IN TECHNOLOGY CHANGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, it is ok however there are some issues</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract provides a clear overview of the methodology, detailing the use of the keyword search method, the creation of a "mind-map" model, and the subsequent application of qualitative cluster analysis. This transparency enhances the reader's understanding of the research approach. The intent to conduct a comprehensive literature review and explore connections and correlations of technological development trends suggests a thorough and holistic approach to the study. However, the abstract mentions the use of a "mind-map" model with each part used as a focus for the keyword search. Adding more specifics on the chosen keyword areas or categories would provide a clearer picture of the scope and focus of the study. It does not explicitly mention the sources of data for the keyword search and cluster analysis. Providing information on the data collection process and the criteria for selecting literature would enhance the rigor and reproducibility of the study. While the abstract mentions exploring key features and characteristics, it would be beneficial to elaborate on how these findings contribute to understanding technological development trends. How will the identified features inform the comprehensive literature review? It presents a structured and systematic approach to examining technological development trends. By focusing on the "mind-map" model and utilizing qualitative cluster analysis, the research aims to provide valuable insights into key features and characteristics within the selected keyword areas. However, additional details on the specifics of the keyword areas and data sources would strengthen the overall clarity and impact of the study. In conclusion, the abstract sets a promising foundation for a research publication, showcasing a well-defined methodology and an ambitious goal of contributing to a comprehensive literature review on technological development trends. Addressing the opportunities for improvement would further enhance the clarity and robustness of the study.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>Discussion, Limitations and Further study can be added</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Many grammar and spelling errors need to be fixed (Check page 1 and do the same for the rest)</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farhang Salehi
Department, University & Country	Iran